Resveratrol and Clinical Trials: The Crossroad from In Vitro Studies to Human Evidence
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Abstract: Resveratrol (3,5,4′-tri hydroxy-trans-stilbene) is a non-flavonoid polyphenol that may be present in a limited number of foodstuffs such as grapes and red wine. Resveratrol has been reported to exert a plethora of health benefits through many different mechanisms of action. This versatility and presence in the human diet have drawn the worldwide attention of many research groups over the past twenty years, which has resulted in a huge output of in vitro and animal (preclinical) studies. In line with this expectation, many resveratrol-based nutraceuticals are consumed all over the world with questionable clinical/scientific support. In fact, the confirmation of these benefits in humans through randomized clinical trials is still very limited. The vast majority of preclinical studies have been performed using assay conditions with a questionable extrapolation to humans, i.e. too high concentrations with potential safety concerns (adverse effects and drug interactions), short-term exposures, in vitro tests carried out with non-physiological metabolites and/or concentrations, etc. Unfortunately, all these hypothesis-generating studies have contributed to increased the number of potential benefits and mechanisms of resveratrol by confirmation in humans is very limited. Therefore, there are many issues that should be addressed to avoid an apparent endless loop in resveratrol research. The so-called ‘Resveratrol Paradox’, i.e., low bioavailability but high bioactivity, is a conundrum not yet solved in which the final responsible actor (if any) for the exerted effects has not yet been unequivocally identified. It is becoming evident that resveratrol exerts cardioprotective benefits through the improvement of inflammatory markers, atherogenic profile, glucose metabolism and endothelial function. However, safety concerns remain unsolved regarding chronic consumption of high RES doses, specially in medicated people. This review will focus on the currently available evidence regarding resveratrol’s effects on humans obtained from randomized clinical trials. In addition, we will provide a critical outlook for further research on this molecule that is evolving from a minor dietary compound to a possible multi-target therapeutic drug.
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INTRODUCTION

Polyphenols and Health

Phenolic compounds are plant secondary metabolites with a large variability in their structure and occurrence. These phytochemicals include simple phenolics such as phenolic acids (caffeic acid, gallic acid, etc., which are not polyphenols strictly speaking, because they have only one phenolic group) and large polymers like condensed and hydrolyzable tannins with large molecular weight [1]. Phenolic compounds are chemically classified in to two main groups: flavonoids and non-flavonoids [2]. Flavonoids include flavonols (quercetin, kaemferol, etc.), flavones (apigenin, luteolin, etc.), flavon-3-ols (catechin, epicatechin, etc.), proanthocyanidins (procyanidins B1, B2, etc.), flavanones (hesperidin, naringenin, etc.), anthocyanins (malvidin, cyanidin, etc.) and isoflavones (genistein, daidzein, etc.). Non-flavonoids include hydroxycinnamic acids (chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, etc.), hydrolyzable tannins such as ellagitannins (punicalagin, pedunculagin, etc.) and gallo-tannins (pentagalloyl glucose, etc.), hydroxybenzoic acids (ellagic acid, gallic acid, etc.) and stilbenes (resveratrol, piceid, viniferins, etc.). Phenolics are found in most of the 350 plant-derived foods regularly consumed by humans [3] and different epidemiological studies as well as clinical trials have correlated phenolic compounds with the prevention of chronic degenerative diseases [4]. For example, a number of foodstuffs have been acknowledged with health benefits, at least partially due to their polyphenolic content, such as cocoa [5] and tea [6] (both rich in procyanidins), and extra virgin olive oil [7,8] (rich in hydroxytyrosol). Another classical foodstuff with cardioprotective benefits is red wine. Upon moderate red wine intake, both ethanol and polyphenolic content have been correlated with these benefits [9]. Red wine is rich in phenolic compounds mainly in the form of polymeric condensed tannins and pigmented tannins [2]...and, sometimes, it also contains resveratrol [10].

Why Resveratrol?

Amongst stilbenes, trans-resveratrol (3,5,4′-tri hydroxy-trans-stilbene; RES) is the most relevant compound due to its well-known bioactivity. However, its presence in the human diet is limited to a few foodstuffs including grapes, red wine, peanuts and some types of berries. RES has been studied in different scientific fields (Chemistry, Plant Science, Medicine, Food Science and others). This means that the bibliographical retrieval using the word ‘resveratrol’ yields substantial different figures depending on the database. At the time of submission of the present review, 5,263, 8,027 and 9,270 publications were found according to PubMed (US National Library of Medicine; National Institutes of Health), Thomson Reuters Web of Knowledge ™ (formerly ISI Web of Knowledge) and Scopus (Elsevier B.V.), respectively (Fig. 1). All these publications dealt specifically with resveratrol or included this term in their keywords/abstracts.

Takaoka [11] isolated this molecule for the first time from hellbole roots (Veratrum grandiflorum O. Loes) and Nomomura [12] did it so from the Japanese knotweed Polygonum cuspidatum. Later on, this compound was detected in wine [13] and it was attributed some cardioprotective effects [14]. But it was not until the publication in Science by Jang et al. [15] on resveratrol’s anticancer potential that the scientific community really became interested in resveratrol and, consequently, reports on the effects and properties of this compound started accumulating exponentially (Fig. 1). Since then, and with different degrees of scientific evidence, RES has
been described as a compound that could prevent or reduce a wide range of diseases including neurodegenerative diseases, cancer and cardiovascular diseases [16-18].

About twenty years ago, the consumption of red wine was linked to the low mortality of the French population due to cardiovascular diseases (CVD), in comparison with other Western countries despite sharing CVD risk factors [19]. This apparent contradiction, i.e. CVD risk factors but low mortality, gave rise to the term ‘French Paradox’. The beneficial effects of red wine were further associated with the reduction of oxidative stress and improvement of endothelial function both in healthy people [20] and in patients with acute coronary syndrome [21]. Initially, RES was identified as the potential cause of the beneficial properties of red wine [13,14,22]. Since then, the red wine/RES association has been re-currently used to establish a kind of dogma: red wine contains highly bioactive resveratrol which justifies the former’s benefits. However, some concepts should be taken into consideration before such linear conclusions are drawn. RES is a phytoalexin, i.e. a plant stress-inducible metabolite that is only induced by plants to face pathogen attacks as a part of a number of defensive mechanisms conceived to overcome unfavorable conditions [23]. Thus, typical phytoalexin levels are, by definition, very low and dependent of environmental variables. Stilbenes are grape (Vitis vinifera)-produced phytoalexins and their content, including that of resveratrol, is very low unless grapes have to overcome adverse challenges [24-26]. In this regard, the RES content of wine is usually low, highly variable and thus unpredictable [10,26]. Although red wine is the most important dietary source of RES, its presence in human diet is almost negligible in comparison with other phytochemicals [27]. Therefore, the biological significance of RES, as red wine compound, has been rather overestimated.

The vast majority of studies dealing with the biological activity of RES have been carried out in vitro and in a lesser extent in animal models. Despite the intensive research, the huge output of publications, and the media success of RES, relatively few human clinical trials have been performed so far.

Bearing all the above in mind, the aim of this review is to present an update of the current available evidence regarding RES and randomized clinical trials in humans. In order to show the current dimensions of the research on RES and the insufficient number of human studies, we will approach this topic by showing a summary of the main ‘preclinical’ studies, including both in vitro and animal assays, human studies on bioavailability and metabolism, and finally we will focus on the human randomized clinical trials available so far.

PRECLINICAL STUDIES

In vitro Models

The numerous in vitro studies conducted so far have contributed to increase the existent vast list of potential effects of RES as well as to establish many possible direct or indirect molecular targets and mechanisms of action mediating them, which often overlap. In this section we review recent available basic in vitro research regarding potential mechanisms and molecular targets of RES possibly involved in the prevention or slowing down of infirmities like cardiovascular diseases, cancer and neurodegenerative diseases, or in other RES reported capacities such as aging delaying.

During the last two decades the great majority of reported in vitro data have been obtained after exposing cells to micromolar range (up to 200 μM) concentrations of RES. Dietetic doses of RES are very low and consequently human physiological concentrations found for this molecule and its metabolites do not usually go over 50 nM and 2 μM, respectively [28,29], although exceptional higher plasma RES concentrations (4.2 μM) and derived metabolites (18 μM) have been reported upon ingestion of a high micronized RES dose (5 g) [30]. Taking this into account, nanomolar range concentrations of RES have been employed in recent in vitro studies in order to better reproduce physiological conditions.

Since Jang et al. in 1997 [15] showed a cancer preventive effect of RES on all three phases of skin and breast cancer development: initiation, promotion, and progression, in a mice model, the anticancer activity of RES has been the subject of a vast number of researches. As a result, a wide range of molecular targets whose modulation leads to growth arrest and death on cancer cells have been revealed. Numerous in vitro studies have shown consistent anticancer effects of RES in a variety of human cancer cell lines, including colon, prostate, breast, melanoma, liver, glioma cells, etc. Some of the most referent works are listed in (Supplementary Table 1). For further information, some recent works have globally [31-
34, among others] and specifically [breast [35], liver [36], and colorectal cancer [37]) reviewed the anticancer activity of RES and its anticarcinogenic mechanisms.

Most in vitro studies have indicated that RES exerts an antiproliferative activity via the induction of apoptosis and/or cell cycle arrest, in different cell lines, which arrested their proliferative cycle mainly in the G0/G1 phase (Supplementary Table 1). Several molecular targets and/or mechanisms like signal transduction pathways and cell cycle regulating proteins associated with RES-induced cell cycle arrest have been identified. Among these, RES modified the balance of cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases (cdks) leading to cell cycle arrest in a specific phase. For example, the inhibition of cyclin D1/cdk4 by RES has been related with the arrest at G0/G1 phase in different cancer cells [38-42]. In addition, RES has been reported to increase cyclins A and E levels on cancer cell lines with cycle arrest in S and G2/M phases [43,44]. Similar findings have shown that RES exerts cell cycle arrest and activation of the p53-dependent pathway [45-48]. RES has also been shown to inhibit the expression of retinoblastoma protein (Rb), another tumor suppressor protein involved in the G1/S transition in a normal status [41,44,48] (Supplementary Table 1).

Regarding the apoptosis induction exerted by RES on a wide range of cancer cells, it has been reported that it can activate caspases, primarily -3 and -9 [39,49-52]. Moreover, in cancer cell lines the inhibition by RES of antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins, such as Bax, Bak or Bad, and of the inhibitors of apoptosis (IAPs) protein family, such as cIAP-2 or XIAP, has also been shown as a mechanism of caspase activation and cytochrome C release [50,53]. Other molecular mechanisms involved in the antiproliferative effects of RES against cancer cells include the suppression of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway [41,50,54-60]; the inhibition of nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB), a transcription factor involved in the regulation of proliferation and apoptotic stress response [61-64]; the inhibition of the Wnt signaling pathway [65,66]; and the modulation of mitogen-activated protein kinases family members (MAPKs), mainly the activation of extracellular signal regulated kinases (ERKs) and p38 [48,57,67,68]. RES has been also suggested to have a role in the inhibition of angiogenesis-dependent processes, such as tumor growth, cell migration and invasion, and metastasis. Notably, it has been reported that RES decreases leukotriene B4, and the expression of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) (mainly MMP-9) [62-64,69-71] and angiogenesis markers like VEGF, EGFR or FGF-2 [41,72] (Supplementary Table 1). Contrary to what was described in many cancer cell models, RES has been shown to rescue neurons from apoptosis (Supplementary Table 2). In studies with neurotoxicants, like the Aβ peptide or 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (MPP+), RES exerts anti-apoptotic effects by avoiding caspase-3 activation, increasing Bcl-2 protein [73] and activating protein kinase C [74]. Furthermore, in cells exposed to 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) or Aβ, RES promotes neuron survival in a sirtuin 1 (SIRT1)-dependent way [61]. Finally, RES also has an anti-amyloidogenic effect facilitating the clearance of Aβ in the brain by modulating proteasome activity [75] and inhibiting the formation of Aβ [76] (Supplementary Table 2).

Many in vitro studies have been focused on identifying targets and mechanisms by which RES exerts beneficial cardiovascular and neuroprotective effects, including its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory capacity. The antioxidant ability of RES has been supported by many in vitro studies where several neurological- and vascular-related cellular models were exposed to low μM concentrations of this polyphenol (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3, respectively). RES has been shown to scavenge hydroxyl, superoxide, metal-induced radicals [77]. Nevertheless, its capacity of inhibiting oxygen free radical formation may come from the inhibition of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production by nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidases (NOX) [78,79] and by the induction of antioxidative enzymes or their substrates, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD) [78,80-83], thioredoxin [84], heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) [84-87], glutathione peroxidase-1 (GPx1) [78,80] and catalase [81]. According to the published evidence, RES has the capacity to improve endothelium function mainly by stimulating endothelial production of nitric oxide (NO) [88-91] through multiple mechanisms, particularly by enhancing endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) expression and/or activity [88,92-94] even at physiological concentrations [89,90,95] (Supplementary Table 3). It has been suggested that RES enhances eNOS activity via a-adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) or extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2)-mediated phosphorylation at Ser1177 [90,96]. The upregulation of eNOS expression is, at least in part, regulated by the histone/lysine deacetylase SIRT1 [80,88,92,94]. Recent studies suggest that RES could activate SIRT1 indirectly through AMPK [97] and that NFE2-related factor 2 (Nrf-2) activation is a key mechanism by which RES confers its cytoprotective effects in the cardiovascular system [98] (Supplementary Table 3).

One of the main properties attributed to RES is anti-inflammatory action. In vitro assays showed that RES was able to attenuate monocyte adherence to the endothelium [99], even at nanomolar concentrations [100] and to prevent spontaneous endothelial cell migration through a Rho kinase-dependent mechanism [101]. RES can reverse H2O2-, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNFα)- and cigarette smoke extract-induced ROS production [102,103], NF-kB activation [61,100,102-106] and upregulation of inflammatory markers like IL-6, TNFα, MCP-1 and iNOS [101,102]. It also reduces the expression of adhesion molecules such as VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 [100,106], probably by inhibiting the p38 MAPK signaling pathway [107] (Supplementary Table 3). In addition, RES targets COX-1, suppresses the expression and activity of COX-2 [71] and the downstream signals like prostaglandin [108,109]. Furthermore, RES has been also shown to prevent proteasome inhibition in chondrocytes [109], RES has also been associated with having a role in lipid modulation. In vitro, μM range concentrations of RES reduced the synthesis of lipids in 3T3-L1 adipocytes, and decreased lipid accumulation and cell viability in maturing 3T3-L1 preadipocytes [110,111]. Moreover, in mature 3T3-L1 adipocytes, μM range concentrations of RES increased lipolysis and reduced lipogenesis, contributing to reduce lipid accumulation in vitro; in addition, RES decreased cell viability dose dependently and induced apoptosis [111,112]. A study in human preadipocytes and mature adipocytes suggested that RES (>10 μM) influences adipose tissue mass by inhibition of preadipocyte proliferation, inhibition of adipogenic differentiation, and inhibition of de novo lipogenesis in a SIRT1-dependent manner. In addition, RES influences the secretory profile of human preadipocytes in a way that may positively interfere with the development of obesity-associated comorbidities [113]. Another study in human adipocytes showed that in SIRT1-independent way RES synergistically enhanced TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL)-induced apoptosis of human adipocytes [114]. A recent study showed for the first time the delipidating effect of RES metabolites in maturing pre-adipocytes and glucuronide metabolites in mature adipocytes, although the concentrations necessary to produce such effect were not in physiological range [115].

An additional effect of RES has to do with its capacity of inhibiting platelet aggregation. Platelet aggregation inhibition was seen with μM [116] and nM [117] concentrations of RES and it possibly involves both the inhibition of the p38 MAPK pathway and the activation of NO/cyclic guanosine monophosphate, resulting in the inhibition of phospholipase C and/or protein kinase C activation, thereby leading to reduced intracellular calcium concentration or free radical formation, and finally to platelet aggregation inhibition. On the other hand, it has been suggested that RES induces platelet apoptosis at higher concentrations which, in part, is due to stimula-
tions of mitochondrial membrane potential dissipation, activation of caspase-3,-9 and -8, and cytochrome C release [118].

Although scarce, studies addressing the anti-aging effects of RES generally suggest that they are exerted via inhibition of oxidative stress, downregulation of inflammation levels, enhancement of SIRT1 expression and sirtuin-regulated downstream pathways rather than SIRT1 activity [119,120] (Supplementary Table 4). Additionally, many of these signalling networks are closely related; SIRT1 levels, for example, are regulated by the energy homeostasis and oxidative stress in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex of rats, thus SIRT1 modulation also regulates ROS levels [121].

In conclusion, the in vitro research carried out so far concerning exposure to RES, despite unfinished, has led to great progress on unravelling its molecular targets and mechanisms of action (Fig. 2). Available in vitro evidence on the protective mechanisms of RES contributes to support its role as an anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory modulator of several signalling pathways and transcriptional factors. This modulation leads to important cellular processes such as apoptosis, induction of cell cycle arrest, migration, adhesion and invasion cell inhibition, lipidic modulation, etc. Nevertheless, it has to be pointed out that in vitro studies where the dose ranges of RES tested are comparable to the physiologically ones found in humans provide more trustworthy evidence.

Animal Models

In the last couple of decades research carried out on in vivo animal models has grown exponentially and the outcomes have contributed to filter the over flow of in vitro-obtained data. The potential effects of RES seen in vitro along with the various possible direct or indirect molecular targets and mechanisms of action mediating them, which often overlap can nowadays be confronted with in vivo evidence. In this section we review available in vivo evidence concerning the potential mechanisms and molecular targets of RES regarding the prevention or deceleration of cancer, cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases, and aging.

A wide range of in vivo studies on the anticancer effects of RES in different rodent models have demonstrated that oral administration, topical application and/or injection can prevent induced carcinogenesis, including the ability to decrease cell proliferation, induce apoptosis, and inhibit angiogenesis, metastasis, and tumor growth. RES’s effects are involved in a variety of cellular pathways against numerous types of cancer models such as melanoma, lung, liver, breast, glioma, prostate, and colorectal (Supplementary Table 5). However, the promising in vitro anticancer results were not confirmed in some studies where no overall differences were observed between RES and controls, suggesting that other factors such as dosage, diet, methods and type of tumor could also influence its efficacy [122-127]. RES can inhibit tumor growth from different tissues (prostate, skin, colon, liver, etc.) and avoid cell proliferation due to cell cycle arrest, mainly at the G2/M phase [128,129], and to apoptosis induction [130-132]. Consistent with in vitro observations in some animal studies RES treatment caused apoptosis inhibition and cell cycle arrest, which involved an increase of pro-apoptotic markers and cell cycle regulator proteins such as Bax, caspase 3, p38, ERK, as well as a decrease in cyclins D1 and B1, Bcl-2, c-fos, and c-jun [133-138]. Furthermore, the anti-cancer effects of RES also involved the inhibition of apoptosis extrinsic and/or intrinsic pathways such as NF-kB, MAPK and PI3K-Akt [134,138-141].

Other molecular targets of RES’s chemoprotective action that have been corroborated in animal studies involve the inhibition of metastasis and angiogenesis, through the inhibition of MMP-2,-9 and EFRG-2 expression and/or activity [130,142,143]. Animal studies have indicated that RES enhanced the cytotoxic effects of several chemotherapeutic agents such as 5-fluouracil, platinol, cisplatin and temozolomide, in the same way in vitro studies did [52, 133,144-146], and decreased or delayed carcinogenesis induced by well-known carcinogens such as doxomibin, diethyln-

tosamine, benzo[a]pyrene, 1,2-dimethylhydrazine, 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene, N-nitrosomethylbenzylamine and azoxymethane [36,135,138,143,147-151].

RES also acts in various facets of CVD, including hypertension, ischemia/reperfusion injury, heart failure and atherosclerosis via multiple mechanisms which lead to risk reduction of cardiovascular events. (Supplementary Tables 6-8) resume the recent in vivo data obtained from research carried out on different cardiovascular disease-induced animal models. A number of studies where myocardial infarction was induced either by surgery or diabetes (Streptozotocin, STZ, injection or high glucose diet) demonstrated that RES contributed to reduced infarct size (Supplementary Table 6). In rats, preconditioning with RES significantly reduced infarct area [152] and ameliorated LAD occlusion-induced MI with enhanced cardiac function, enhanced stem cell survival and proliferation, and reduced oxidative stress [153]. Also in rats, RES reduced infarct size in diabetes-induced myocardial infarction after exposure for 5 to 15 days with doses of 1 to 5 mg/kg bw/day (Human Equivalent Dose, HED, of ≈11 to 56 mg of RES for a 70 kg person) [86,154,155] in a process perhaps dependent on NO [86] and related to the induction of eNOS expression in the ischemic heart [156]. Moreover, RES doses of 2.5 and 5 mg/kg bw/day for 14 days improved post-ischemic ventricular recovery, and reduced myocardial infarct size and cardiomyocyte apoptosis, whereas doses >25 mg/kg bw/day (HED= ±282 mg for a 70 kg person) were found to depress cardiac function and increase myocardial infarct size and apoptotic cells number [157]. The anti-apoptotic action of RES in cardiomyocytes may involve the SIRT1-FOXO1 pathway [158]. In swine models, 100 mg RES/kg bw/day (HED= 7 g for a 70 kg person) for 7 weeks reduced infarct area [159], whereas a 437-fold lower dose (0.23 mg/kg bw/day; HED= 16 mg for a 70 kg person) for one year diminished aortic elastic fibers disruption and alteration, and reduced aortic tissue accumulation of fatty cells and Ox [160].

The reductive effects of RES on hypertension were described in several studies using rodents as in vivo models (Supplementary Table 6). A reduction on blood pressure was found in mouse [161] and rat [162-169] models with doses ranging from 1 to 800 mg/kg bw/day and exposure durations ranging from a few hours up to 10 weeks. On the other hand, some studies on rat models reported no effect on blood pressure with low RES doses (2.5 mg/kg/day) [170-172]. After exposure to RES, cardiac dysfunctions were found to be reduced [171-173], as well as unfavourable vascular remodelling [92,161] and cardiac contractility [163,174]. Left ventricular function was improved in rat [175,176] and in swine [177] models. Furthermore, many studies carried out on rat models showed a reduction on hypertrophy [162,166,171,178-180] even with 2.5 mg RES/kg bw/day (HED= ±28 mg for a 70 kg person). The anti-hypertensive properties of RES seen in rats were often associated with endothelium-dependent vascular relaxation [166,167,169,181], enhanced eNOS activity [166,167,169], and increased NO [167] and gluthatine [182-184] levels. In addition, rodent studies have shown an increase in the activities of SOD [167,182,184,185], catalase [184,185] and GPX [182], and a decrease in myeloperoxidase activity [183,186]. It is noteworthy that a low dose of RES reduced cardiac hypertrophy without affecting blood pressure [170,171] which suggests alternative mechanisms may be associated its cardiac hypertrophy inhibitory effect. For example, this has been proposed to occur via AMP-activated protein kinase pathway activation and Akt pathway inhibition [187].

Circulating lipid levels after exposure to RES have been analysed in several in vivo studies ( Supplementary Table 7). In mice, a reduction of total cholesterol, triglycerides and free fatty acids was reported in high-fat diet (HFD)-induced adipogenesis models (7.08-400 mg RES/kg bw/day for 6-10 weeks; HED from ≈4 mg up to >2 g for a 70 kg person) [188,189] and apo E-deficient models showed a decrease in LDL- and total-cholesterol [190]. In rats, significant
Fig. (2). Preclinical effects for resveratrol. AMPK, adenosine monophosphate activated protein kinase; APAF, apoptotic protease activating factor; Apo, apolipoprotein; Bad, Bcl-2-associated death promoter; Bak, Bcl-2-antagonist killer; Bax, Bcl-2-associated X protein; Bel-2, B-cell lymphoma 2; Bel-xL, B-cell lymphoma-extra large; BFL-1/A1, Bcl-2-related protein A1; Bid, BH3 interacting-domain death agonist; BHL, tetrahydrobiopterin; Bin, Bel-2-like 11 apoptosis facilitator; CAT, catalase; cdk, cyclin-dependent kinase; Cdk2, Cdk4, -7, -1, -2; Cyt-c, Cytochrome C; E2F, transcription factor E2F; EGFR, endothelial growth factor receptor; eNOS, endothelial nitric oxide synthase; ERK, extracellular signal regulated kinase; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; FOXO, forkhead transcription factor; GCH1, GTP cyclohydrolase 1; GCLC, glutamate-cysteine ligase catalytic subunit; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; GRO-o/CXCL1, chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1; GSH, glutathione; HDL-c, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; HMG-CoA, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A; HO-1, heme oxygenase-1; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model of insulin resistance; ICAM-1, intercellular adhesion molecule 1; IFN-γ, interferon-gamma; IL, interleukin; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; JNK, c-Jun N-terminal kinase; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinases; MCP, monocyte chemotactic protein; MDA, malondialdehyde; MI, myocardial infarct; MIP, macrophage inflammatory protein; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; MPO, myeloperoxidase; MPTP, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine; MPTP, monoamine oxidase; MPO, myeloperoxidase; MTA, metastasis-associated protein; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa B; NO, nitric oxide; NOX, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase; NQO, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate: quinone oxidoreductase; Nrf, nuclear factor E2-related factor; p21, p53, tumor suppressor protein; PGC-1α, 1-b hydroxylase; PPAR, peroxisome proliferator activated receptor; PPARY, tumor suppressor protein; PPARγ, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; PFR, phosphatase and tensin homolog; Rh. rhinoblastoma tumor suppressor gene; ROS, reactive oxygen species; sCD40L, soluble CD40 ligand; SIRT, sirtuin 1; SOD, superoxide dismutase; STAT, signal transducer and activator of transcription; TGF, transforming growth factor; TIMP, tissue inhibitors of MMP; TNF, tumor necrosis factor alfa; Total-c, total cholesterol; TRAF, TNF receptor associated factor; TRAIL, TNF-related apoptosis inducing ligand; TRx, thioredoxin; VCAM-1, vascular cell adhesion protein; VEFG, vascular endothelial growth factor; XIAP, X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein; XON, xanthine oxidase. Effect is indicated by ↓ reduction; ↑ induction; p: phosphorylation status.

decreases were observed in total cholesterol (dose range: 10-45 mg RES/kg/day for 6-8 weeks) [165,191], LDL-cholesterol [172,192] and triglycerides [165,169,172,184,185,192] (2.5-10 mg/kg bw/day for 4-10 weeks) and an increase in HDL-cholesterol (2.5-15 mg/kg bw/day for 4-8 weeks) [172,191]. In swine models given 100 mg of RES/kg bw/day (HED= 7 g for a 70 kg person) for 7 [159] and 11 weeks [193] a decrease was reported in total- and LDL-cholesterol. Moreover, a reduced grade of steatosis was seen in mice exposed to 200 mg RES/kg bw/day (HED >1 g for a 70 kg person) for 20 weeks [194] and in rats (15-44 mg/kg bw/day for 4-6 weeks) [185,191,195]. Reduced abdominal fat was observed in rats at a dose of 10 [165] (HED= ±113 mg for a 70 kg person) and 100 mg RES/kg bw/day (HED >1 g for a 70 kg person) [196] for 8-10 weeks. Whereas the administration of high levels of RES (>200 mg/kg bw/day; HED >1 g for a 70 kg person) reduced body weight increase caused by HFD in mice [197] and grey mouse lemurs [198], doses <60 mg/kg bw/day (HED <340 mg for a 70 kg person) did not affect body weight variations [164,165,199].

In the majority of in vivo studies where insulin sensitivity was diminished (as a consequence of HFD or STZ-induced diabetes), RES lowered glucose and/or insulin levels and/or improved insulin sensitivity independently of dose and exposition time (Supplementary Table 7), particularly in rodents [165,184, 194,196,197,199-205]. In one study, AMPK seemed to be required for the insulin...
sensitivity enhancing effect of RES in mice [206]. Improved insulin sensitivity and lower glucose levels were found in grey mouse lemurs with 200 mg/kg bw/day for 33 months [207]. In a swine model, 100 mg RES/kg bw/day for 11 weeks [193] improved insulin sensitivity and, in rabbits, insulin levels were reduced after 10 weeks (Δ1.5 and 17 mg/kg bw/day; HED= 34 and 384 mg for a 70 kg person), although glucose levels were not affected [208]. Metabolic rate was increased in mice after treatment with 400 mg RES/kg bw/day (HED >2 g for a 70 kg person) for 12 weeks [206] and metabolic dysregulation was ameliorated with exposure doses ranging from 1 to 50 mg/kg bw/day (HED= 11 up to 560 mg for a 70 kg person) (5 days-15 weeks) in rat models [180,204].

RES has also been shown to modulate inflammation, or at least to influence the levels of several inflammatory response markers. Significant changes on the level of these markers were reported in various studies performed on rodents regarding the administration of RES, where doses ranged from 1 mg up to more than 1 g/kg bw/day (HED from Δ11 mg to >5 g for a 70 kg person) and exposure times varied from a few days up to 30 weeks (Supplementary Table 8). With few exceptions RES reversed the rise in the levels of important pro-inflammatory cytokines and other inflammation-related markers in several disease-induced models, such as induced-obesity, -hypertension, -diabetes and colon colitis, and exposure to carcinogens, among others. RES treatment was generally found to reduce TNFα [146,165,195,209-220], IL-1β [209,210,213,217, 218,220], IL-6 [102,210,216,218-222], MCP-1 [223,224], COX-2 [209,210,215] and iNOS [102,209,210,217]. In addition, RES exposure diminished the activity of T- and B-cells, and macrophages, due to a significant inhibition of their proliferation, antibody production, and lymphokine secretion [194,221,225]. Moreover, RES inhibited NF-κB, and NF-κB-related inflammatory and autoimmune markers [182,215,216].

Neurodegenerative diseases are a group of progressive disorders characterized by a common inflammatory status and increased ROS levels which primarily produce the loss of neurons’ normal function and also neuron death. The broad-spectrum actions exerted by RES resulting from the modulation of a number of signalling networks and cellular-effector mechanisms related to inflammation, oxidant status and apoptosis, make it a candidate for treating neurodegenerative diseases [226] (Supplementary Table 9). If the doses required for RES to exert its effect in vitro are somewhat lower than those used in vivo or whether it could have an effect on the central nervous system via the enteric nervous system is something that is still unknown. In neurodegenerative disease models (Alzheimer, Parkinson and Huntington) RES improves the pathological damage of neurons, increasing cell survival by inhibiting apoptosis, inflammation and oxidative stress and thus improving the cognitive impairment and the decline in motor function that accompany these diseases [216,227]. The possible mechanisms responsible for this neuroprotection appear to involve the regulation of HO-1 and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1 alpha (PGC-1α), the upregulation of the Nrf-2 expression or the activation of the SIRT1-uncoupling protein 2 pathway [228-231].

Extension of lifespan is one of the most fascinating effects exerted by RES (Supplementary Table 10). In 2003, Howitz and colleagues [232] showed that RES was able to extend yeast replicative lifespan (70%) but not the chronological one of Saccharomyces cerevisiae by the activation of SIRT1. Soon after, some studies conducted in metazoans (Caenorhabditis elegans and Drosophila melanogaster) and the short lived seasonal fish Nothobranchius furzeri showed that RES extended medium and maximal lifespan by modulating SIRT1 [233,234]. In murine models in which RES was administered with a HFD, a 31% decrease in the risk of death was shown [199]. Also, RES mimicked calorie restriction extended lifespan by increasing survival and improving health quality (motor function, insulin sensitivity and organ pathology) probably by inducing the PGC-1α-SIRT1 pathway [197,199]. Although there is a respectably large amount of data supporting the role of RES in SIRT1-mediated lifespan, some controversy still persists. Some studies have not found any effect on survival or locomotor activity in mice [235], or that the effect is sex-dependent in flies [236,237]. Nevertheless, RES improves the symptoms of aging-related chronic diseases in animal models and could be an optimal candidate for treating aging-related diseases.

In conclusion, the growing number preclinical studies have been providing encouraging results concerning RES’s beneficial properties against cancer, cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases, and aging retardation. Some of these properties have been observed even at dietetic doses, and often corroboration between in vitro and in vivo data is found; nevertheless, further studies are required to elucidate some variableness found in RES actions, for example regarding its hormetic behavior (i.e. both beneficial and detrimental effects depending on the dose and time of exposure). Despite hopeful advances have been made in order to achieve the final objective of confirming RES as a beneficial and safe molecule for human consumption, the definite test of human clinical trials is just now beginning to bear fruit.

HUMAN INTERVENTION STUDIES

Despite abundant preclinical studies have been carried out in animal models, mostly in rodents, investigations regarding the safety and beneficial effects of RES in humans are scarce [17]. There are many reviews and ‘perspective’ papers about RES but limited human clinical evidence [9,16,17,238-245, among others]. In this context, most research dealing with RES in humans has been related to its pharmacokinetics and metabolism. Therefore, the heading of this section is specifically conceived to include the two clearly different approaches to human studies, i.e. evaluation of pharmacokinetic parameters and metabolic profiles, and randomized clinical trials (evaluation of effects).

Pharmacokinetics and Metabolism

Table 1 summarizes the current knowledge on pharmacokinetics and metabolism of RES, which has been the main topic linking RES research and humans. We have completed here the information that has been reviewed recently in different publications [239-242,246]. We will highlight the main current knowledge about this topic, but the reader is advised to check recent reviews or specific cited references for additional, more detailed, information (Table 1).

The polyphenol RES is highly absorbed, metabolized and excreted yielding a rather low bioavailability [29]. The first evaluation of RES absorption in humans was carried out by Goldberg et al. [28] who also explored the effect of different food matrices on RES bioavailability. Initially, studies were focused on the analysis of total RES content by treating plasma and urine samples with glucuronidase/sulfatase enzymes due to the lack of suitable standards of phase II-derived metabolites for proper identification and quantification. With time, the knowledge about this topic has substantially increased and up to nearly 20 RES-derived metabolites have been described in plasma, urine and some tissues according to different studies in animals [247] and humans [248,249]. Among these metabolites, there are trans- and/or cis- forms of mono- and dигlucuronides, mono- and disulfates and sulfoglucuronides from parent RES, as well as equivalent conjugations of the microbiota-derived metabolite dihydroRES (DHRES). The possible significance of the last-named metabolite had already been pointed out by Walle et al. [29] and was further confirmed in animals [247,250] and humans [249]. The activity of any specific RES circulating metabolite is still under debate, and in the case of circulating DHRES metabolites, the existing gap in this knowledge is almost complete [251]. In this regard, Bode et al. [252] tried to elucidate interindividual differences in RES metabolism by human gut microbiota and to identify bacterial strains involved. This recent investigation identi-
Table 1. Main Published Human Studies Dealing with the Pharmacokinetics, Metabolism and Disposition of Resveratrol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resveratrol (RES) formulation and dosage</th>
<th>Volunteers and sample size (n)</th>
<th>Main outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single intake of 25 mg/kg RES dissolved in white wine, grape juice or vegetable juice.</td>
<td>Healthy males (n=12).</td>
<td>RES absorption was broadly equivalent in the three matrices.</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single intake of 0.03, 0.5 or 1 mg/kg RES in whisky-water (5 mL:50 mL), and 0.32, 0.64, 0.96 or 1.92 mg RES in grape juice (200, 400, 600 or 1200 mL).</td>
<td>Healthy males (n=3).</td>
<td>Pharmacokinetic, urinary and plasma profile. Absorption of RES-glucoside is lower than that of RES. Similar relative RES absorption all the matrices.</td>
<td>[323]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single oral (25 mg) and intravenous (1.5 mg) administration of 14C-RES.</td>
<td>Healthy females (n=3) and males (n=3).</td>
<td>Pharmacokinetic and metabolic profile. High absorption but very low bioavailability. Dihydrosveratrol could be a relevant RES-derived metabolite.</td>
<td>[246]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single intake (250 mL) of red wine containing 0.4 mg trans-RES and 0.4 mg cis-RES.</td>
<td>Healthy males (n=11).</td>
<td>Detection of RES and some metabolites in LDL particles.</td>
<td>[324]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three dietary approaches: Single ingestion of 300 or 600 mL red wine after fasting, a standard meal, or a meal with different lipid load (total RES from 0.25 mg to 1.9 mg).</td>
<td>Healthy females (n=11) and males (n=14).</td>
<td>Pharmacokinetic and metabolic profile. Food or lipid content did not exert influence on RES bioavailability.</td>
<td>[259]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily consumption of 300 mL sparkling wine (0.36 mg RES), 200 mL white wine (0.4 mg RES) or 200 mL red wine (2.6 mg RES) for 28 days.</td>
<td>Healthy females (n=10) and males (n=10).</td>
<td>Total RES metabolites increased upon increasing RES ingestion. RES metabolites could be used as biomarkers of wine intake.</td>
<td>[325]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single intake of 1 g RES (capsule).</td>
<td>Adult (unspecified gender) (n=1).</td>
<td>Urinary and plasma metabolic profile.</td>
<td>[254]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single intake of 0.5, 1, 2.5 and 5 g RES (capsules).</td>
<td>Healthy males (n=18) and females (n=22).</td>
<td>Pharmacokinetic and metabolic profile. The highest RES amount ever ingested by humans. No adverse effects upon single intake.</td>
<td>[255]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single intake of 500 mL low-fat milk containing RES previously dissolved in hydroalcoholic solution. RES dose: 85.5 mg/70 kg.</td>
<td>Healthy males (n=9).</td>
<td>Pharmacokinetics and metabolic profile. New plasma RES-C/O-glucuronide metabolites are described. High binding affinity to plasma proteins.</td>
<td>[248]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily intake of 300 mL white wine (75 mg phenolics) or red wine (540 mg phenolics) for 15 days.</td>
<td>Healthy females (n=11) and males (n=9).</td>
<td>Plasma RES concentration increased from 0.72 mM for white wine to 1.33 mM for red wine.</td>
<td>[326]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single intake of 0.4 g RES following either a standard high-fat meal or 8 h fasting.</td>
<td>Healthy subjects (n=24).</td>
<td>RES absorption was delayed by the food (T_max was 4-fold higher than after fasting). However AUC values were similar.</td>
<td>[257]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six-daily intake of RES capsules (25, 50, 100 or 150 mg) at 4 h intervals for 13 days.</td>
<td>Healthy males (n=20) and females (n=20).</td>
<td>AUC values were no directly proportional to RES intake. High interindividual variability. Bioavailability was higher after morning administration.</td>
<td>[327]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single plus multiple RES capsules (0.2 g) intake at 8 h intervals for 3 days.</td>
<td>Healthy young (n=6) and elderly (n=6) females and young (n=6) and elderly males (n=6).</td>
<td>Pharmacokinetic and metabolic profile. No gender or age-dependent differences were observed.</td>
<td>[253]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single intake of 250 mL red wine, 10 tablets or 1 L grape juice. Average RES dose 0.014 mg/kg.</td>
<td>Healthy males (n=11).</td>
<td>Bioavailability from wine and grape juice was around 6-fold higher than that from tablets.</td>
<td>[328]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice daily intake of 2 g RES with a standard breakfast, a high-fat breakfast, quercetin 500 mg twice daily and 5% alcohol 100 mL.</td>
<td>Healthy females (n=5) and males (n=3).</td>
<td>Steady-state 12-hour pharmacokinetics of RES. High-fat meal decreased RES absorption. Quercetin or alcohol did not influence RES plasma concentrations.</td>
<td>[258]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily intake of capsules containing 0.5, 1, 2.5 or 5 g micronized RES for 29 days.</td>
<td>Healthy females (n=18) and males (n=22).</td>
<td>Micronized RES formulation increased plasma C_max of RES and derived-metabolites versus published values for standard RES.</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Very interestingly, Patel et al. [260] reported the detection of RES and derived metabolites in human tissue for the first time. RES and five derived metabolites (3-glucuronide, 4’-glucuronide, sulfogluconide, 3-sulfate and 4’-sulfate) were identified in both normal (proximal or distal to the tumor) and in tumor tissue samples obtained from the right or left side of the colorectum. There was a logical very high variability in the content of RES and derived metabolites depending on the RES dose, type of tissue (normal or tumor), and location (proximal or distal to tumor in normal samples, and left or right sides in both normal and tumor tissues). Both parent and RES metabolites were mainly detected in right-sided colorectal tissues (feces are liquid in the right-sided colorectum, and interact earlier with this part of the gut [261]). After 0.5 and 1 g doses, maximal RES mean concentrations of 18.6 and 674 nmol/g were found in normal (proximal to tumor) tissue, respectively. As for tumor tissues, maximal RES mean concentrations found were 8.3 and 94 nmol/g, respectively. In the case of metabolites, 86 nmol/g of RES-3-O-glucuronide were quantified at the 0.5 g dose and 67.2 nmol/g RES-3-O-sulfate at the 1 g dose in normal tissue proximal to tumor, and in both cases, in the right side. Regarding metabolites and tumor tissues, the highest concentration was detected for RES-sulfoglucuronide (29 nmol/g) in the right side. The main plasma RES metabolite was the sulfoglucuronide conjugate with a concentration around 40-fold higher than that of RES-3-O-sulfate [260]. No explanation was given for this finding. Perhaps, this could be explained by the overexpression of phase II enzymes (both glucuronyl- and sulfatetransferases) in colon cancer [262]. Unfortunately, the presence of DHRES was not explored in this study, which is surprising when the objective of the trial was to evaluate the disposition of RES and derived metabolites in colon tissue. DHRES has been reported to be the most abundant RES-derived metabolite in colon from rats [250] and pigs [247]. Whereas there is an increasing body of evidence regarding the potential of RES as cancer chemopreventive molecule [37], however, little is known about the biological relevance of DHRES [251].

Howells et al. [263], reported for the first time the capability of micronized RES to reach distant organs such as liver, which was demonstrated in 3 colorectal cancer patients with hepatic metastasis. RES was detected in hepatic tissue following 5 g RES daily administration for approximately 14 days. Unfortunately, no hepatic metabolic profile of RES was provided.

### Randomized Clinical Trials

The perfect clinical trial does not exist. The best trial ever designed would be soon criticized by stating that 'additional and com-

---

**Table 1** Contd....

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESveratrol (RES) formulation and dosage</th>
<th>Volunteers and sample size (n)</th>
<th>Main outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily ingestion of capsules containing 0.5 g or 1 g micronized RES for 8 days.</td>
<td>Colon cancer male (n=9) and female (n=11) patients.</td>
<td>RES and four derived glucuronide and sulfate derivatives were quantified in colon tissues. Higher levels were detected in the right side of the colon.</td>
<td>[261]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single ingestion of 375 mL red wine (6.3 mg total RES content as aglycone and glucoside in both trans- and cis-forms) or 10 capsules containing grape extract (total RES content 4.7 mg RES).</td>
<td>Healthy men (n=10).</td>
<td>Seventeen RES-derived metabolites were identified. RES from grape extract showed delayed absorption versus red wine and remained longer in the organism yielding higher dihydroresveratrol-derived metabolites than in the case of red wine.</td>
<td>[249]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single oral dose of 0.5 mg RES/kg body weight in the form of a grapevine-shoot supplement. Registered at the German Clinical Trials Register as DRKS00004311, Universal Trial Number (WHO) UTN: U1111-1133-4621.</td>
<td>Healthy volunteers (n=12).</td>
<td>Besides DHRES, 2 new metabolites were identified: 3,4-dihydroxy-trans-stilbene and 3,4'-dihydroxybenzyl. RES metabolism by human gut microbiota had pronounced interindividual differences.</td>
<td>[252]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
plimentary trials with higher sample size and/or longer follow-up should be carried out to confirm/complete the results. Everything cannot be evaluated in a single trial. In other words, no definitive conclusions can be obtained from any single trial but from a set of well-designed and performed trials, and this is a long lasting process. In the following pages, we have critically reviewed the human clinical trials dealing with RES or RES-containing products available in the main three databases (PubMed, Thomson Reuters Web of Knowledge and Scopus). We have also included those studies where effects were evaluated but random allocation of subjects in the study groups was not specified, and trials which consisted of a single group to check post-intervention changes versus baseline without comparison with other compounds or products.

In this section we describe lights and shadows for these trials, i.e. main characteristics, objectives, outcomes, strengths, limitations and, in some cases, possible specific future studies in relation to the trial being described. We have divided this section in two: 1) trials with medicated patients (either at risk or with established disease), and 2) trials with non-medicated subjects either healthy or at risk for disease.

**Subjects Under Medication (at Risk or with Established Disease)**

The first published human study dealing with RES and cancer was carried out by Nguyen et al. [264] (Table 2). They performed a 4-arm pilot trial to evaluate the effects of different plant preparations on patients with colon cancer. Two patients ingested 20 mg *Polygonum cuspidatum* extract (containing 3.9 mg RES) plus 120 mg quercetin (flavonol), one patient ingested 80 mg *P. cuspidatum* extract (containing 15.5 mg RES) plus 480 mg quercetin; three patients consumed 80 g grape powder (containing 0.07 mg grape RES) and 2 patients ingested 120 g grape powder containing 0.11 mg grape RES. Patients ingested these preparations from cancer diagnosis until surgery every day for around 14 days. Normal colonic mucosa and colon cancer tissues were evaluated by Wnt pathway-specific microarrays and RT-PCR, pre- and post-ingestion of the study products. The most significant effects were obtained after ingesting 80 g of grape powder in normal colonic mucosa. The expression of both CD133 and LGR5, markers of colon cancer and colonic stem cells [265], and Wnt target genes (also important in colorectal cancer, [266]) were decreased in normal mucosa. However, no effects were found in colon cancer tissue. No effect was observed with the rest of study products, including those with higher RES dose plus quercetin. Authors suggested that a combination of different phenolics as occur in grapes could explain the results obtained. The specific constituent responsible for the effects was not identified but the contribution of RES to these effects seemed to be negligible according to its low amount versus the rest of phenolics. Another limitation of the study is that other dietary compounds could exert effects since no dietary restrictions were approached in the trial. The small sample size and the possible confounding effect of medications also limited the conclusions reached.

As mentioned above, Patel et al. [260] reported the detection of RES and derived metabolites in human colon tissues. The study was unrandomized, placebo uncontrolled, and consisted of two parallel arms. Blinded-analyses were performed. Twenty patients with colorectal cancer daily consumed 0.5 g (n=10) or 1 g (n=10) micronized RES for 8 days before surgery. The main aim of this study was the characterization of RES’ metabolic profile in colon tissues after surgery. However, this study has been included in this section because authors also investigated the effect of RES administration on the proliferation marker Ki-67, commonly used as a surrogate marker of cell growth. High variability was found and a cumulative significant reduction of 5% versus baseline was observed when results were analyzed collectively, in all patients (n=20). On the contrary, the separate analysis of RES dosages (0.5 and 1 g) did not render significant differences. Presumably, a larger sample size in each arm would have also yielded significant differences.

In the study carried out by Howells et al. [263], six patients daily consumed either RES (5 g, n=3) or placebo (n=3) for a minimum of 10 days and a maximum of 21 days before surgery. As stated in the previous section, RES was detected in hepatic tissue, and interestingly, the apoptotic marker cleaved caspase-3 increased by 39% in malignant hepatic tissue from patients that consumed micronized RES. No effects were observed in the circulating levels of PGE2 and VEGF. In addition, no effects were found in the hepatic tissue levels of IGF-1, Ki-67, phospho-Akt, Akt1, phospho-GSK3, GSK3, phospho-ERK, ERK, phospho-JNK, JNK, β-catenin, survivin, Bel-2, Bax or Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP), all of them well-known cancer-related markers. Daily ingestion of 5 g for 14 days was well tolerated by the patients, however, safety concerns still persist. Overall, RES improved insulin resistance, decreased time and usual medical treatments for this type of patients. A higher RES bioavailability was claimed using a specific micronized formulation versus conventional RES. However, taking into account the high interindividual variability in RES bioavailability, this comparison was made with previous published data and no direct comparison with standard RES was carried out in the same trial.

Together with cancer chemopreventive activity, the possible role on cardiovascular protection has been the most acknowledged health benefit of RES. In this regard, to the best of our knowledge, the first human clinical trial in patients dealing with RES and cardioprotection was conducted by Brasnyo et al. [267] in male type 2 diabetics. The design was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with two parallel arms. On a daily basis, patients consumed RES capsules containing either RES (10 mg, n=10) or placebo (n=9) for 1 month. Therefore, this study joined two remarkable characteristics, the first evaluation of RES cardioprotective effects in humans, and importantly, the use of a low RES dose (achievable with dietary supplements). The main objective was to evaluate the effect on insulin sensitivity and assess a possible related mechanism. Overall, RES improved insulin resistance, decreased blood glucose levels and delayed the appearance of glucose peaks after a test meal. These effects were correlated with a decrease of oxidative stress, measured as urinary ortho-tyrosine: creatinine excretion, together with an increase of Akt phosphorylation in platelets, since the activation of Akt pathway is a well-known step of insulin signalling [268]. However, a number of concerns arise from this first trial. The sample size was small (n=19) and the follow-up was short for the low RES dose assayed (1 month). Some markers such as glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and hsCRP, among others, were measured at baseline but no post-intervention data was provided. All patients were male and on angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker medication. However, no covariates (age, medication, etc.) were taken into consideration in the statistical analysis. In addition, possible effects on the serobiochemical and hematological profile of patients were not explored. Although patients were instructed to abstain from alcoholic beverages and possible RES containing foods, no dietary records were provided by the patients. Therefore, the influence of each individual’s diet on the results cannot be ruled out, especially when the follow-up is short.

Fujitaka et al. [269] evaluated the effect of RES on endothelial function in 34 patients with metabolic syndrome. The design was a randomized, crossover, unblinded, and placebo-uncontrolled trial. Patients consumed RES for 3 months and then discontinued consumption for the same time, i.e. group A (n=17) ingested 100 mg RES per day for the first 3 months whereas for the following 3 months it did not. The inverse pattern was followed by group B, n=17. In both groups, flow mediated dilatation (FMD), a procedure to measure endothelial function, increased approximately from 4% to 9% and returned to baseline values after discontinuation of RES treatment. No effects were observed on blood pressure nor on some inflammatory (hsCRP, IL-6) and atherogenic (serum lipid profile
Table 2. Published Clinical Trials Dealing with the Effects of Resveratrol on Human Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort and sample size (n)</th>
<th>Trial design, resveratrol dose and time of intervention</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Main outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects with established disease and/or under medication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with colon cancer (n=8).</td>
<td>Four parallel arms, randomized trial. Placeto-uncontrolled. Unblinded. Daily ingestion (for 19 days) of: 80 g grape powder (GP) with 0.07 mg RES, n=3; or 120 g GP with 0.11 mg RES, n=2; or 3.9 mg RES + 120 mg quercetin, n=2; or 15.5 mg RES + 480 mg quercetin, n=1. GP was dissolved in water. RES+quercetin in capsules. Registration number: NCT00256334.</td>
<td>Changes in Wnt pathway in normal and cancer colon tissues after surgery.</td>
<td>Inhibition of some genes from Wnt pathway only in normal tissue.</td>
<td>[264]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with colorectal cancer (n=20).</td>
<td>Two parallel arms, non-randomized, placebo uncontrolled. Blinded-analysis was performed. Daily ingestion (for 8 days) of 0.5 g, n=10; or 1 g micronized RES, n=10. RES in capsules.</td>
<td>Detection of RES and derived metabolites in colorectal tissue, and effect on proliferation marker Ki-67.</td>
<td>Ki-67 level was reduced by 5% and 7% in cancer and normal tissue, respectively.</td>
<td>[260]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with colorectal cancer and hepatic metastasis (n=6).</td>
<td>Two parallel arms, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Preoperative daily ingestion (from 10 to 21 days) of a sachet containing 5 g of micronized RES or placebo. Registration number: NCT00920803</td>
<td>Pharmacokinetics, tissue disposition and effect on apoptosis marker (cleaved caspase-3).</td>
<td>Detection of RES in hepatic tissue and increased (39%) content of cleaved caspase-3 in this tissue. RES was well tolerated. Higher RES bioavailability than that reported for standard RES.</td>
<td>[263]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 male diabetics (n=19).</td>
<td>Two parallel arms, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Follow-up: 4 weeks. Daily ingestion of 10 mg RES, n=10 or placebo, n=9. RES in capsules.</td>
<td>Effect on insulin sensitivity and explore the possible related mechanisms.</td>
<td>Decrease of insulin resistance possibly due to a decrease of oxidative stress and improvement of insulin signaling via the Akt pathway.</td>
<td>[267]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with metabolic syndrome (n=34).</td>
<td>Randomized, cross-over, unblinded, and placebo-uncontrolled. Follow-up for 6 months but effective treatment with RES was for 3 months and then discontinued, i.e. first 3 months group A, n=17, daily ingested 100 mg RES and for the second 3 months did not. The inverse pattern in group B, n=17. RES in capsules.</td>
<td>Improvement of endothelial function in medicated patients with metabolic syndrome.</td>
<td>In both groups, FMD increased approximately from 4% to 9% and returned to baseline values after discontinuation of RES treatment. No effects were observed on some inflammatory and atherogenic markers.</td>
<td>[269]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients on statin treatment and at high risk of CVD (n=75).</td>
<td>Three parallel arms, randomized, triple-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Follow-up: 6 months daily ingestion of 350 mg placebo (n=25), resveratrol-containing grape extract (GE-RES, grape phenolics + 8 mg RES, n=25) or conventional grape extract lacking RES (GE). Study products in capsules. Registration number: NCT01449110.</td>
<td>Effects on atherogenic makers, i.e. serum lipid profile, ApoB and LDLox.</td>
<td>GE-RES nutraceutical decreased ApoB (-9.8%) and LDLox (-20%) in patients beyond their treatment according to standard guidelines for primary prevention of CVD. No drug interactions were detected. No adverse effects on hematological profile, hepatic, thyroid and renal functions.</td>
<td>[270]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetics (n=62).</td>
<td>Randomized, 2 parallel arms trial. Placebo uncontrolled. Unblinded. Follow up: 3 months daily ingestion of hypoglycemic drugs + 250 mg RES (n=28) or only hypoglycemic drugs in control group (n=29). Study products in capsules. Registration number (India): CTRI/2011/05/001731.</td>
<td>Effects on glycemic control and associated risk markers.</td>
<td>RES improved systolic and diastolic blood pressures, HbA1c (-5%), total cholesterol and LDLC concentrations.</td>
<td>[274]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight/obese and moderately insulin resistant older adults (n=10).</td>
<td>Randomized assignment to take RES capsules for 4 weeks in one of the three doses: 1, 1.5, and 2 g/day, taken in divided doses. Open-label, uncontrolled study design. Registration number: NCT01375959.</td>
<td>Glucose metabolism and vascular function.</td>
<td>Improved insulin sensitivity and postmeal plasma glucose. Results did not differ by dose. No drug interactions were observed during the study.</td>
<td>[276]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Table 2) Contd….

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort and sample size (n)</th>
<th>Trial design, resveratrol dose and time of intervention</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Main outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stable CAD patients (n=40).</td>
<td>Randomized, 2 parallel arms, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Follow up: 3 months daily ingestion of 10 mg RES in one of the groups. RES in capsules.</td>
<td>Cardioprotective effects after myocardial infarction.</td>
<td>RES decreased versus baseline LDLc (8%) and improved endothelial function (50%), left ventricular diastolic function (2%), and protected from unfavorable hemorheological changes. No effect on CRP and TNFα.</td>
<td>[275]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients on statin treatment and at high risk of CVD (n=75). (Same cohort as in Tomé-Carneiro et al. 2012a).</td>
<td>Three parallel arms, randomized, triple-blind, dose-response, placebo-controlled trial. Follow-up: 12 months daily ingestion of 350 mg placebo (n=25), resveratrol-containing grape extract (GE-RES, grape phenolics + 8 mg RES, n=25) or conventional grape extract lacking RES (GE) for 6 months and the double dose for the following 6 months. Study products in capsules. Registration number: NCT01449110.</td>
<td>Effect on inflammatory and fibrinolytic status of patients.</td>
<td>GE-RES nutraceutical decreased hsCRP (-26%), TNFα (-19.8%), PAI-1 (-16.8%) and IL-6/IL-10 ratio (-24%), and increased IL-10 (19.8%). No drug interactions were detected. No adverse effects on hematological profile, hepatic, thyroid and renal functions.</td>
<td>[273]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients taking an oral contraceptive (n=12 + n=42).</td>
<td>Unmasked and unrandomized trial. Two separate experiments: 1) Follow-up: 2 months with 30 mg of RES in addition to oral contraceptive (containing 3 mg drospirenone plus 30 ug ethinylestadiol) previously taken for 6 months; 2) Follow-up: After submission to laparoscopy and hysteroscopy for the management of endometriosis. Sixteen patients on oral contraceptives alone for at least 2 months prior to hospital admission, while 26 were using them in combination with RES.</td>
<td>Experiment 1: Effect on the management of endometriosis-related pain in patients who failed to obtain pain relief during the use of an oral contraceptive drospirenone + ethinylestadiol. Experiment 2: Effect on aromatase and cyclo-oxygenase-2 expression in endometrial tissue.</td>
<td>RES significant reduced pain scores (82% of patients reporting complete resolution of dysmenorrhea and pelvic pain after 2 months of use). Inhibition of both aromatase and cyclo-oxygenase-2 expression was significantly greater in the eutopic endometrium of patients taking RES compared with oral contraceptives alone.</td>
<td>[277]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with multiple myeloma (n=24).</td>
<td>Two-arm, unrandomized and unmarked phase 2 clinical trial. Follow up: 5g of SRT501 following breakfast for 20 days in a 21-day cycle up to 12 cycles. Registration number: NCT00920556.</td>
<td>Effect of SRT501 with or without bortezomib in multiple myeloma patients who had relapsed or were refractory to at least one prior therapy.</td>
<td>Unacceptable safety profile and minimal efficacy in patients with relapsed/refractory MM highlighting the risks of novel drug development in such populations.</td>
<td>[278]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with stable angina pectoris (n=116)</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blinded, active-controlled, and parallel trial with 3 groups of subjects who received the test drugs and 1 control group of subjects who were not randomized. Follow up: inclusion, 30 and 60 days of oral supplementation with calcium fructoborate (CF) (112 mg/day), RES (20mg/day), and their combination. Registration number: ISRCTN02337806.</td>
<td>Effect on inflammation biomarkers (hsCRP), left ventricular function markers (N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide (BNP)), and lipid markers (total cholesterol, LDL-c, HDL-c, and triacylglycerols).</td>
<td>Significant hsCRP decrease in all groups at the 30-d and 60-d visits: 39.7% at 60 d for the CF group and 30.3% RES plus CF, at 60 d. The N-terminal prohormone of BNP was significantly lowered by RES (59.7% at 60 d) and by CF (52.6% at 60 d). However, their combination was the most effective and induced a decrease of 65.5%. Lipid markers showed slight changes from baseline in all groups.</td>
<td>[279]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2 Contd….

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort and sample size (n)</th>
<th>Trial design, resveratrol dose and time of intervention</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Main outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients with stable CAD (n=75).</td>
<td>Three parallel arms, randomized, triple-blind, dose-response, placebo-controlled trial. Follow-up: 12 months daily ingestion of 350 mg placebo (n=25), resveratrol-containing grape extract (GE-RES, grape phenolics + 8 mg RES, n=25) or conventional grape extract lacking RES (GE) for 6 months and the double dose for the following 6 months. Study products in capsules. Registration number: NCT01449110.</td>
<td>Effect on inflammatory and fibrinolytic status of patients.</td>
<td>Significant increase in adiponectin levels (10%) in GE-RES group in addition to a decrease in PAI-1 levels. Non-HDL cholesterol decreased significantly in both GE and GE-RES groups. Downregulation of pro-inflammatory genes expression in PBMCs isolated from GE-RES group patients. No drug interactions were found and no adverse effects were observed on the hematological profile or on the hepatic, thyroid and renal functions.</td>
<td>[280]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy subjects (n=20).</td>
<td>Two parallel arms, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Unmasked. Follow-up: 6 weeks daily ingestion of 200 mg <em>P. cuspidatum</em> extract (containing 40 mg RES, n=10) or placebo (n=10). Study products in capsules.</td>
<td>Effects on oxidative stress and inflammatory status.</td>
<td>RES-containing extract decreased ROS, p47&lt;sup&gt;phox&lt;/sup&gt;, INFκB, IKKβ and JNK, PTP-1B and SOCS-3 in PBMCs. In addition, plasma concentrations of TNFα (-33%) and CRP (-29%) also decreased.</td>
<td>[284]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy subjects (n=42).</td>
<td>Placebo-uncontrolled, non-randomized, unblinded. Cohort with a single arm to evaluate effects after 4 weeks upon daily ingestion of 1 g RES (capsules).</td>
<td>Effect of RES on CYPs and phase II enzymes.</td>
<td>RES inhibited the activity of CYP3A4, CYP2D6, and CYP2C9 and induced CYP1A2.</td>
<td>[288]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy subjects (n=40).</td>
<td>Four parallel arms, non-randomized, placebo uncontrolled. Blinded for analysis. Daily ingestion of 0.5 g (n=10), 1 g (n=10), 2.5 g (n=10) and 5 g (n=10) micronized RES for 29 days. RES in capsules.</td>
<td>Safety, pharmacokinetics and effects on circulating IGF-1 and IGFBP-3.</td>
<td>IGF-1 levels were decreased on the 2.5 g dose and IGFBP-3 on the 1 and 2.5 g doses. No linear dose-response was observed between RES plasma AUC values and effects on IGF-1 and IGFBP-3.</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy subjects (n=10).</td>
<td>2-arms, crossover, placebo-controlled, non-randomized, unblinded. Ingestion of a single dose of either a nutraceutical containing 100 mg RES from <em>P. cuspidatum</em> and 75 mg muscadine grape phenolics or placebo 10 min before a high-fat, high carbohydrate (HFHC) meal. Effects were measured for 5 hours after meal. Study products in capsules.</td>
<td>Effects on HFHC meal-induced oxidative and inflammatory stress.</td>
<td>The nutraceutical reduced meal-induced elevations of plasma LPS, and improved the expression of different oxidative and inflammatory-related genes in PBMCs (p47&lt;sup&gt;phox&lt;/sup&gt;, Nrf-2, TLR-4, CD14, IL-1β, SOCS-3, Keap-1, NQO-1 and GST-P1). The highest effects occurred from 3 to 5 hours after meal.</td>
<td>[290]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy overweight/obese men or postmenopausal women with mildly elevated blood pressure (n=19).</td>
<td>Randomized, crossover, double-blind trial, single dose, placebo-uncontrolled. Single ingestion of 30, 90, 270 mg synthetic RES or placebo to weekly intervals. Analyses were performed 1 h after consumption of study products (capsules).</td>
<td>Acute, dose-dependent effect of RES on FMD.</td>
<td>FMD improved by 65% 1 h after consuming 30 or 90 mg RES and by 88% with 270 mg RES.</td>
<td>[293]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese men (n=11).</td>
<td>Randomized, crossover, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Daily ingestion of 150 mg synthetic RES for 1 month. RES in capsules. Registration number: NCT00998504.</td>
<td>To assess whether RES induce metabolic changes in obese men.</td>
<td>RES induced modest but consistent metabolic changes that mimic calorie restriction. A number of effects and mechanisms were reported such as reduction of sleeping and resting metabolic rate, activation of AMPK and increase of SIRT1 and PGC-1α in muscle, among others.</td>
<td>[294]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy subjects (n=22).</td>
<td>Randomized, crossover, double-blind, dose-response, placebo-controlled trial. Single intake of placebo, 250 mg or 500 mg RES. Analyses were performed 45 min after the ingestion. RES in capsules. Registration number: NCT01010009.</td>
<td>Acute effect on brain functions by improving blood flow.</td>
<td>RES increased dose-dependently cerebral blood flow. Cognitive function was not affected.</td>
<td>[289]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Table 2) Contd…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort and sample size (n)</th>
<th>Trial design, resveratrol dose and time of intervention</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Main outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women at increased breast cancer risk (n=31).</td>
<td>Randomized, 3-arm, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Daily ingestion of placebo, 5 mg RES or 50 mg RES for 3 months. Study products in capsules.</td>
<td>Effect on DNA methylation and prostaglandin E2</td>
<td>No significant effect was found on the 4 genes studies (RASSF-1α, APC, CCND2 and p16). A correlation was found between the decrease of RASSSF-1α methylation and serum RES concentration.</td>
<td>[298]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese subjects (n=32).</td>
<td>Randomized, 3-arm, single-blind, placebo controlled trial. Daily ingestion of 150 mg RES (n=10), 300 mg catechin-rich grape seed extract (CGSE, n=12) or 400 mg RES phosphate (RTP, n=10) for 28 days. Study products in capsules.</td>
<td>Comparison of study products on oxidative stress in obese subjects.</td>
<td>Low density microarrays in whole blood showed preliminary changes in some genes related to oxidative stress, mainly affected by CGSE and RTP. However, data were not validated by RT-PCR. Serum oxidative stress markers were mainly improved by RTP and CGSE.</td>
<td>[295]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy subjects (n=12).</td>
<td>Non-randomized, placebo-uncontrolled, unblinded. Daily consumption of 3 capsules to provide a total content of 6 mg RES, 300 mg dried grape extract, 150 mg of dried extract from olive oil, 9 mg lycopene, 300 mg vitamin C and 90 mg bioflavonoids from citrus fruits. Follow-up: 5 days.</td>
<td>Effects on against oxidative DNA-damage and alters their redox status.</td>
<td>No significant effects were found on lymphocytes DNA-stability parameters, and serum CRP and LDLox values after 5 days.</td>
<td>[296]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonobese, postmenopausal women (n=45).</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Follow up: 12 weeks of RES supplementation (75 mg/day). Registration number: NCT00823381.</td>
<td>Evaluate the metabolic effects in nonobese, postmenopausal women with normal glucose tolerance.</td>
<td>No change in body composition, resting metabolic rate, plasma lipids, or inflammatory markers. No increase in liver, skeletal muscle, or adipose tissue insulin sensitivity. No affect in RES putative molecular targets, including AMPK, SIRT1, NAMPT, and PPARGC1A, in either skeletal muscle or adipose tissue.</td>
<td>[299]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese healthy men (n=24).</td>
<td>Randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded, and 2-arm parallel. Follow up: either RES or placebo treatment for 4 weeks.</td>
<td>Metabolic effects of high-dose RES in obese human subjects.</td>
<td>Insulin sensitivity deteriorated insignificantly in both groups. Endogenous glucose production and the turnover and oxidation rates of glucose remained unchanged. No effect on blood pressure, resting energy expenditure, oxidation rates of lipid, ectopic or visceral fat content, or in inflammatory and metabolic biomarkers.</td>
<td>[300]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy adult smokers (n=50).</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, cross-over trial. Follow up: patients were allocated to either &quot;resveratrol-first&quot; group (30 days of 500 mg RES/day, 30 days wash-out, 30-days placebo) or to &quot;placebo-first&quot; group (30 days placebo, 30 days wash-out, 30 days 500 mg RES/day). Registration number: NCT01492114.</td>
<td>Effects on markers of inflammation and oxidative stress in smokers.</td>
<td>Significant CRP and triglyceride concentrations reduction, and increased Total Antioxidant Status values. Uric acid, glucose, insulin, cholesterol, liver enzyme concentrations, and weight, waist circumference, and blood pressure values did not change significantly.</td>
<td>[301]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteers with acne vulgaris (n=20).</td>
<td>Single-blind, vehicle-controlled, 1-arm trial. Non-randomized. Daily administration of hydrogel-containing RES and only vehicle in each face’s volunteer for 2 months.</td>
<td>Therapeutic effects of RES on acneic skin.</td>
<td>Global acne grading system (GAGS) score decreased by 53.7% on the RES-treated sides of the face. Histological analyses showed a decrease of 66.7% in the area of micromedones. No adverse effects were observed.</td>
<td>[302]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort and sample size (n)</td>
<td>Trial design, resveratrol dose and time of intervention</td>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Main outcome</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy females (n=15).</td>
<td>1-arm, vehicle-controlled, trial. Non-randomized. Topical application of 4 different moisturizing cream formulations on six sites on the non-exposed dorsal skin for 4 days. RES triphosphate (RTP), RTP+antioxidant blend, antioxidant blend alone and vehicle alone were applied to each participant. Histological analyses were blinded to the investigators.</td>
<td>Effects of RTP on UV-induced skin damage.</td>
<td>UV-induced erythema was mainly prevented by RTP and inhibited sunburn cell formation and melanin content.</td>
<td>[303]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy subjects (n=50).</td>
<td>Randomized, unmasked, placebo-controlled trial. Follow up: 1 capsule of either placebo or a grape extract supplement (133 mg) containing 8 mg RES for 60 days.</td>
<td>Topical and systemic effects on age-related alterations to the skin, the skin antioxidant pool, and systemic oxidative stress levels.</td>
<td>Systemic oxidative stress, plasmatic antioxidant capacity, and skin antioxidant power increased significantly. Skin moisturization and elasticity improved, while skin roughness and depth of wrinkles diminished. Intensity of age spots decreased significantly.</td>
<td>[304]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Registration number is indicated when available. AMPK, AMP activated protein kinase; ApoB, apolipoprotein B; APC, adenomatous polyposis coli; AUC, area under the curve; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; CAD, coronary artery disease; CCND2, cyclin D2 gene; CVD, cardiovascular disease; CYP, cytochrome P450; FMD, flow-mediated dilatation; GSE, grape seed extract; GST-IP, glutathione S-transferase-IP; HAA, hemoglobin A; IDLc, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; I KKβ, inhibitor of xB-kinaseβ; INFKB, intranuclear nuclear factor-xB-binding; JNK, jun-N-terminal kinase; LDLc, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDLox, oxidized LDL; NQO-1, NADPH:quinone oxidoreductase-1; Nrf-2, NF-E2-related factor-3; p16, Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A, p16Ink4a); PBMCs, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; PGC-1α, Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator-1 alpha; PTP-1B, phosphotyrosine phosphatase-1B; RASSF-1α, Ras association domain family 1 isoform A tumor suppressor; RES, trans-resveratrol; RTP, RES-phosphate; SIRT1, sirtuin type 1 (silent information regulator type 1); SOCS-3, suppressor of cytokine signaling type 3; TLR-4, toll-like receptor-4.

and others) markers. Endothelial function was assessed after overnight fasting and plasma RES metabolic profile was not characterized. Therefore, the possible direct role (if any) of circulating metabolites on FMD improvement remains unanswered. A limitation of the study is the specific medication in each group was not specified and no covariates, including this medication, were considered in the statistical analysis. In addition, the hematological profile and the hepatic, renal and thyroid functions were not assessed by determining routine serobiochemical variables.

Tomé-Carneiro et al. [270] investigated the effects of a RES-containing grape nutraceutical on atherogenic markers in patients on statin treatment, at high risk of CVD, and treated according to current guidelines. The design was a three-arm parallel, randomized, triple-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Patients (n=75) daily ingested 1 capsule containing either 350 mg placebo (n=25), conventional grape extract lacking RES (GE, containing around 150 mg phenolics) or RES-containing grape extract (GE-RES, containing around 150 mg grape phenolics, including 8 mg RES, n=25) for 6 months. The trial was divided into 3 arms to include a placebo treatment and, importantly, to evaluate the specific contribution of RES against the rest of grape phenolic content. The main outcome of this trial was the reduction of both apolipoprotein B (ApoB) and the oxidized fraction of LDLc (LDLox) by 9.8% and 20%, respectively, in the RES-containing grape group. On the contrary, no significant effects were observed in the placebo and conventional grape extract (lacking RES) groups. It is known that residual risk factors could not be detected, probably due to the overnight fasting period prior the blood withdrawal and the low RES amount consumed (8 mg). No adverse effects were found as confirmed by the evaluation of a complete set of serobiochemical and hematological variables. However, some limitations can be found in this trial. No stratification by gender was done and more females were randomly allocated in the group consuming GE-RES. However, a number of covariates (medication, age, gender, etc.) were used in the ANCOVA statistical analysis with Bonferroni posthoc test to discard the possible influence of these factors in the results obtained. In addition, sample size (n=75) was low to draw clinical conclusions from this intervention.

The same authors further evaluated the inflammatory and fibrolytic status in the same cohort of patients with the same study products [273]. In this case, the trial design was similar to that described above [272], but with a 1 year follow-up and a dose increase (2 capsules/day) for the final 6 months. This is the longest human clinical trial dealing with RES reported to date. Patients' characteristics and baseline clinical values were thoroughly detailed. After adjustment for multiple covariates, the main outcome was a dose-dependent decrease of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) by 26% after 12 months which was well correlated with the decrease of both TNFα (-19.8%) and the thrombogenic plasminogen inhibitor activator type-1 (-16.8%, PAI-1). In addition, the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 increased (19.8%) and the IL-6/IL-10 ratio, commonly used to express the inflammatory status, decreased (-24%). These changes were also supported by a clear trend towards the improvement of other markers such as adiponectin, IL-18 and soluble intercellular adhesion molecule type 1 (sICAM-1). The pathophysiological association of these changes was confirmed by significant correlations for each patient, i.e. hsCRP vs TNFα, hsCRP vs PAI-1, sICAM-1 vs IL-18 and adiponectin vs sICAM-1 [273]. No significant effects were observed in
placebo and conventional grape extract groups. No drug interactions were found and no adverse effects were observed on the hematological profile or on the hepatic, thyroid and renal functions. Overall, this RES-containing grape nutraceutical exerted effects beyond the gold-standard medication in CVD primary-prevention patients. Once again, the presence of RES in the grape extract seemed essential to exert the effects. A possible, though unconfirmed, synergistic action between statins and the grape nutraceutical was hypothesized. As expected, more studies are needed to confirm these results. A possible and challenging next step will be to perform a multicenter trial with much higher sample size and longer follow-up to evaluate the possible clinical impact of this RES-containing grape product as coadjuvant in the therapeutic treatment of CVD.

Bhatt et al. [274] conducted a randomized, 2-arm parallel, unblinded and placebo uncontrolled trial. Type 2 diabetic subjects ingested hypoglycemic drugs plus 250 mg RES (n=28) or only hypoglycemic drugs (control group (n=29) daily for 3 months. The main outcomes upon RES consumption were the decrease of systolic blood pressure (-8.4%), glycated hemoglobin HbA1c (-5%) and total cholesterol (-8.5%). At the end of the trial, significant differences were obtained between RES group and control group for fasting blood glucose, HbA1c, systolic and diastolic blood pressures, total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDLC, urea nitrogen, creatinine, and total protein levels. No covariates were used in the statistical analysis. The proportion of female/male was not homogeneous and possible gender-dependent effects cannot be ruled out. Only partial safety follow-up was assessed (i.e. creatinine, urea and total proteins).

Magyar et al. [275] recently performed a randomized, 2-arm parallel, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial in which 40 stable coronary artery disease (CAD) patients (26 men, 14 women) were followed-up for 3 months. One group (n=20) ingested 10 mg RES and the other group (n=20) placebo, both on a daily basis. RES intake improved a number of markers and features in CAD patients versus baseline such as the decrease of LDLC by 8%, a noteworthy improvement of endothelial function (approximately from 2% to 4.5%) and left ventricular diastolic function (2%), and also provided protection against some unfavorable hemorheological changes. Inflammatory markers such as CRP and TNFαx increased around 50% in both placebo and RES groups after 3 months. In this case, whereas the standard error in baseline values of both inflammatory markers was rather low, this error dramatically increased in the final measurements, especially in CRP values, and thus significant statistical difference was not obtained for this important increase after 3 months. No explanation was given for these results and no details were provided about the technique used to measure these parameters. Baseline LDLC values for placebo and RES groups seemed to be very different. Despite the medication of CAD patients, hepatic, thyroid and renal functions were monitored to discard any possible side-effect. No covariates were used in the statistical analysis. Regarding the improvement of endothelial function measured as FMD, the amelioration observed with the low RES dose assayed (10 mg) is remarkable. The improvement was similar to that observed by Fujitaka et al. [269] in the same follow-up time (3 months), although a 10-fold lower RES concentration was used. A rapid conclusion when comparing these studies is that FMD was improved in two very different pathological statuses (metabolic syndrome and CAD) and that this improvement was not proportional to RES dosage. This introduces the controversy about RES dosage and effects that will be approached in a subsequent section.

One more recent pilot trial on insulin sensitivity and glucose tolerance was carried out by Crandall et al. [276]. Overweight/obese and moderately insulin resistant adults (n=7 females and 3 males, 72±3 years) ingested 1, 1.5 or 2 g RES (capsules) per day, for 1 month. Some participants were under anti-hypertensive (n=4) and statin (n=3) treatments. Although subjects were randomly chosen to take one of the three RES doses, the study was open-labeled and uncontrolled. Overall, after 4 weeks, peak postmeal and 3-hour glucose AUC decreased and the Matsuda index for insulin sensitivity improved by 18.4%. Despite the high RES doses assayed, no changes in hsCRP and serum lipid profile were observed versus baseline. There are some limitations in this trial concerning the design, follow-up and sample size. However, these results confirmed the improvement of insulin sensitivity upon RES intake and it also included the specific search for possible drug interactions and the evaluation of some enzymes related to hepatic function. Interestingly, as mentioned above, Brasnyo et al. [267] also described an improvement in insulin sensitivity in diabetics upon intake of only 10 mg RES for the same follow-up period (1 month).

Recently, Maia et al. [277] have evaluated the effect of RES on the management of endometriosis-related pain in 12 patients of reproductive age who failed to obtain pain relief during the use of an oral contraceptive containing 3 mg drospirenone plus 30 μg ethinylerstradiol for 6 months. The addition of 30 mg of RES to the contraceptive regimen resulted in a significant reduction in pain scores, with 82% of patients reporting complete resolution of dysmenorrhea and pelvic pain after 2 months of use. In a separate experiment, aromatase and cyclo-oxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression were investigated in the endometrial tissue of 42 patients submitted to laparoscopy and hysteroscopy for the management of endometriosis. Sixteen of these patients had been using drospirenone + ethinylestradiol in an extended regimen for at least 2 months prior to surgery while the remaining 26 were using the same contraceptive regimen associated with 30 mg of RES. Inhibition of both aromatase and COX-2 expression was significantly greater in the eutopic endometrium of patients using combined drospirenone + RES therapy compared with the endometrium of patients using oral contraceptives alone. This was an unmasked and unrandomized trial. Authors acknowledge that better designed clinical trials are required to provide level 1 evidence that the combination of oral contraceptives with RES is superior to the use of either progestins or combined oral contraceptives alone in the management of endometriosis-related pain symptoms. In addition, both aromatase and COX-2 expression were evaluated only by immunohistochemical methods, with no further confirmatory studies using molecular biology techniques, such as polymerase chain reaction. No covariates were considered in the statistical analysis.

Not long ago, Popat et al. [278] conducted a 2-arm, unrandomized and unblinded phase 2 clinical trial on the effects of the RES formulation SRT501 with or without bortezomib in multiple myeloma patients who had relapsed or were refractory to at least one prior therapy. Twenty four patients were enrolled into this trial. Inclusion criteria included adequate renal/bone marrow function, and prior bortezomib was permitted irrespective of response. Patients received 5 g of SRT501 following breakfast for 20 days in a 21-day cycle up to 12 cycles. After two cycles, those with stable disease (SD) received two additional cycles, those with progressive disease (PD) had bortezomib added. The addition of 24 patients commenced SRT501 monotherapy, and 9 had bortezomib added for PD. The mean duration on study was 92.8 days and patients received a mean dose of 272 mg RES. The most common adverse events were: nausea (79%) and diarrhea (71%). As SRT501 is extensively metabolized, renal failure seemed specific to MM patients. Renal failure was not observed for SRT501 and bortezomib.
zomib despite low efficacy. However, disease stabilization by bortezomib may have prevented renal failure whereas low efficacy of SRT501 with nausea and vomiting may have resulted in disease progression and dehydration, leading to renal failure. This study demonstrated an unacceptable safety profile and minimal efficacy in patients with relapsed/refractory MM highlighting the risks of novel drug development in such populations.

Militaru et al. [279] evaluated the effect on the clinical and biological statuses of subjects with stable angina pectoris of a short-term (60 days) oral supplementation with calcium fructoborate (CF), RES, and their combination. The clinical trial was randomized, double-blinded, active-controlled and paralleled with three groups of subjects who received the test drugs and one control group of subjects who were not randomized. All groups received their usual medical care and treatment. In addition, one group received a single daily capsule (20 mg/day) of a powdered extract standardized to 50% RES (trans-RES 10 mg); another group received the same RES dose combined with CF (112 mg/day); and a third group received CF. The non-randomized control group received only their usual medical care and treatment. Of the total number of subjects included in study (n=166), 87 completed the 60 days test treatment study period and 29 followed in parallel their usual medical care and treatment. There was a significant decrease of hsCRP in all groups at the 30 and 60 days visits. This decrease was greater (39.7% at 60 days) for the CF group, followed by the RES + CF group (30.3% at 60 days). The N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) was significantly lowered by the RES group (59.7% at 60 days) and by CF (52.6% at 60 days). However, their combination was the most effective and induced a decrease of 65.5%. Lipid markers showed slight changes from baseline in all groups. The improvement in the quality of life was best observed for subjects who received the RES and CF mixture. No covariates were considered in the statistical analysis. RES seemed to be well tolerated as no adverse effects were reported by the volunteers, although safety evaluation focusing on serobiochemical and hematological parameters was not carried out.

Very recently, Tomé-Carneiro et al. [280] explored the effects of a RES-containing grape nutraceutical on patients with stable CAD, in a one year dietary intervention. The trial design was equivalent to a previously conducted on primary prevention patients of CVD [273]. Very briefly, it was a three-arm parallel, randomized, triple-blind, placebo-controlled trial, using the same study products (placebo, grape extract (GE) and grape extract containing RES (GE-RES)), doses and treatment duration. Authors evaluated the inflammatory and fibriproxin status in these patients. The main outcome of this trial was a significant increase in adiponectin levels (10%) in patients included in the GE-RES group. Also, a decrease in PAI-1 levels was found in the GE-RES group, in addition to a remarkable but non-statistically significant reduction in hsCRP values (-25% vs. placebo). The atherogenic lipid load, measured as cholesterol’s non-high density lipoprotein load, decreased significantly in both GE and GE-RES groups. The placebo group presented a statistically significant reduction in IL-1α and TNFα, together with an increase in PAI-1 levels. This study also showed a downregulation of pro-inflammatory genes expression in PBMCs isolated from GE-RES group patients. Six inflammatory transcription factors were found to be modulated, including Kruppel like factor 2, NF-κB, activator protein-1, c-Jun, activating transcription factor 2, and CREB-binding protein. No drug interactions were found and no adverse effects were observed on the hematological profile or on the hepatic, thyroid and renal functions. Covariates as age, gender and medication, among others, were used in the statistical analysis. This was the longest exploratory trial dealing with RES in patients with stable CAD; nevertheless authors acknowledge that the small sample size and follow-up limit the clinical impact of this dietary intervention. In addition, the gene expression microarray-based profile encountered provides preliminary evidence. Finally, authors conclude that these overall results warrant further research on this nutraceutical as a possible safe coadjuvant food supplement in the follow-up of these types of patients.

Non-medicated Subjects (Healthy or at Risk for Disease)

Pharmaceutical drugs are prescribed by physicians and are consumed to treat mild or serious pathological processes. Nevertheless, plant-based extracts, phytochemical compounds, including RES, or nutraceutical preparations are initially conceived to be consumed by the vast majority of individuals looking for ‘prevention’. How can prevention be measured? The homeostasis of healthy subjects, by definition, is supposed to be stable. Therefore, what marker, mechanisms or processes would be the right targets in healthy people? Do healthy people need to consume this type of preparations? For example, should a decrease in the normal values of LDLc, CRP, total cholesterol or blood pressure be targeted in healthy people? The desired effect should be ‘to prevent the alteration of the normal values range’. Perhaps, the targeted ‘healthy’ people should present a borderline status with mild disease, i.e. non-medicated and non-established disease, such as obese (but healthy) people, non-medicated mild hypertensive subjects, etc. In addition, healthy people that consume this type of products are those reaching an age at which the risk of occurrence for chronic-degenerative pathologies such as cardiovascular, cancer and neurodegenerative diseases increases [281-285]. Although this is an interesting debate, there is no universal and easy answer for all these questions.

One of the first studies with a RES-containing extract was carried out by Ghanim et al. [284] (Table 2). They conducted a randomized, unblinded, placebo-controlled, two-arm parallel, 6-weeks follow-up trial. Remarkable results on CRP and TNFα were obtained in healthy subjects after consuming an herbal extract containing 40 mg RES for 3 weeks. These results were spectacular taking into account that basal levels of both CRP and TNFα are very low in healthy subjects. Very slight changes at these concentration levels can be only measured when analysing high-sensitivity (hs)CRP and hsTNFα, which was not specified in the study. Increased prevention of these pro-inflammatory markers can be a target in subjects at risk or with established diseases, or upon specific inflammatory stresses. However, it is unclear whether decreasing these important mediators in the inflammatory homeostasis below normal values is desirable in healthy, non-medicated people. A significant decrease of both markers was detected after 3 weeks, whereas following the same dosage, the values tended to be restored after 6 weeks and no significant difference versus baseline was observed for CRP. An important limitation is that the possible influence of lifestyles, including the diet, was not taken into account in the follow-up. The male/female ratio was not specified in the study. This is relevant since the expression of some genes, such as SOCS-3, is gender-dependent [285]. No covariates were used in the statistical analysis (age, gender, etc.). In addition, the relevance of RES in the effects observed is unclear since a similar herbal extract lacking RES was not assayed (the herbal extract had a 20% RES content and no characterization of the remaining 80% was provided).

Brown et al. [30], as mentioned above, evaluated the pharmacokinetics of RES (see above, Table 1) and also the effects on circulating IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 upon consumption of 0.5, 1, 2.5 and 5 g RES for 29 days. The IGF axis signalling has been reported to play a crucial role in the development of cancer [286]. The most remarkable decrease of IGF-1 was found in volunteers consuming the 2.5 g dose whereas the effect on IGFBP-3 was mainly observed for the 1 and 2.5 g doses. Interestingly, the highest RES dose (5 g) failed to exert effects. Therefore, no clear relationship was found between the effects and plasma metabolites concentration. These high RES doses were well tolerated by the four cohorts. However, the term ‘safe’ cannot be unequivocally used with such short assay times and in the absence of any medication. In addition, no serobiochemical or hematological variables were determined to support
this safety. Perhaps, we can conclude that higher concentration does not necessarily mean higher effect [287].

Chow et al. [288] carried out an essential human study (11 men and 31 women) about the effect of RES on phase I isoenzymes (cytochrome P450, CYPs) and phase II detoxification enzymes upon the daily consumption of 1 g RES for 1 month. The CYP phenotypic index decreased by 50%, 75% and 175% in CYP1A2, CYP2D6 and CYP2C9, respectively, whereas it was increased by 20% in CYP1A2. No significant effect was observed in both GST and UGT1A1 activities. The interpretation of these results is dual. On one hand, these results could support the cancer chemopreventive activity of RES by modulating the enzyme systems involved in carcinogen activation and detoxification. On the other hand, RES inhibited CYP isoenzymes that are critically involved in the bioavailability, metabolism, activation and detoxification of many drugs, including those used for treating cancer. Further studies are needed to ascertain whether high RES doses for a long period can affect the CYP system, especially in the presence of therapeutic drugs. This is a challenging but necessary evaluation. Otherwise, if safety concerns are not clarified, the future of RES as potential multitarget pharmacological drug is rather obscure.

As previously mentioned in the section of preclinical effects of RES, a number of studies have reported promising results regarding RES and brain functions (Supplementary Table 9). However, to date, the only human trial dealing with this topic was carried out by Kennedy et al. [289]. In a randomized, crossover, double-blinded, dose-response, placebo-controlled trial, 22 participants ingested a single dose of placebo, 250 mg or 500 mg RES. Initially, mean RES and derived metabolites were determined in plasma. Maximum concentration of RES metabolites was found between 90 and 120 min. After a 45-minutes resting absorption period, the volunteers performed a number of cognitive tasks that activated the frontal cortex for 36 additional minutes. Blood flow and hemodynamics in the brain were measured. Interestingly, RES increased cerebral blood flow and oxygen extraction in a dose-dependent fashion. Although no effect was found in cognitive function, this cannot be ruled out upon chronic ingestion. Therefore, future studies should assess the lowest RES concentration that affects cerebral blood flow (to minimize unsafety concerns) and to perform intermittent cognitive tasks in healthy individuals upon chronic (months) RES intake.

Ghanim et al. [290] reported a logical further step in their investigations after their first study in healthy subjects [283] in which RES was reported to affect a number of oxidative and inflammatory-related genes in the absence of any type of induced stress. In this case, the objective was to evaluate the effects of a single ingestion of a nutraceutical containing 100 mg RES from P. cuspidatum plus 75 mg phenolics from muscadine grape after a high fat, high carbohydrate (HFHC) meal (930 kcal). In accordance with their previous study, a number of oxidative and inflammatory-related genes were also improved, including p47phox, IL-1β, CD14 and TLR4 gene expressions and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and lipopolysaccharide-binding protein (LBP) concentrations. In addition, the authors also reported that the antioxidant transcription factor Nrf-2 was induced, and the expression of some related Nrf-2 target genes, such as NQO-1 and GST-1P, was also improved. All these results became statistically significant due to the homogeneous gene expression results from isolated mononuclear and polymorph nuclear cells, which is not common due to the high interindividual variability, the gender-dependent expression of many genes (presence of 4 males and 6 females in the assay) and the small sample size under study (n=10). Interestingly, in accordance with the prevention of SOCS-3 increase in long-term pigs fed an atherogenic diet and supplemented with a RES-rich grape supplement [160], the increase of SOCS-3 was prevented in this study, which could play a role in obesity and the prevention of insulin and leptin resistance [291,292]. In addition, despite the significant result obtained on hsCRP in their previous trial [284], this important inflammatory mediator was not explored here. In fact, if the decrease of normal hsCRP values in healthy people can be questionable, the prevention of its increase after a HFFC meal is a common target to attenuate a postprandial pro-inflammatory status. In addition, the specific relevance of RES in the effects was not explored since a parallel experiment with the same nutraceutical but lacking RES was not carried out. No phenolic characterization of muscadine grape was included in the study. No covariates were used in the statistical analysis.

Another acute effect of RES was reported by Wong et al. [293] in non-medicated overweight/obese individuals with mildly elevated blood pressure. FMD increased by 65% 1 h after consumption of a 30 and 90 mg dose and by 88% with a 270 mg RES dose. Therefore, FMD did not improve proportionally to RES concentration. A significant (P<0.01) but poor (R²=0.08) correlation was found between FMD and the logarithm of RES plasma concentration. However, 'total' RES concentration was calculated by using glucuronidase/sulfatase treatments and thus the possible specific individual metabolite contribution to FMD improvement (if any) remained unanswered. In addition, FMD was measured only 1 h after ingestion of RES doses. Taking into account that RES bioavailability shows high interindividual variability and that pharmacokinetics parameters can change depending on the RES formulation, FMD should be measured at different times and correlated with the specific pharmacokinetic profile for each RES preparation assayed. In fact, RES capsules usually delay absorption and metabolism [294] and a higher effect on FMD cannot be ruled out. In the previously commented trial conducted by Fuikata et al. in patients with metabolic syndrome [269], daily consumption of 100 mg RES for 3 months improved FMD by 100%. Interestingly, patients consumed the RES capsule after dinner and endothelial function was determined in the morning after overnight fasting. Although the plasma metabolic profile of RES was not provided in that study, according to previous reports, the clearance of RES and derived metabolites is almost complete at that time [249,270]. A similar rationale can be applied to the study of Magyar et al. [275] using 10 mg RES per day in CAD patients for 3 months. Therefore, taking into account these trials [269,275,293], the specific contribution of circulating metabolites to modify endothelial function cannot be inferred. Overall, this indicates that RES metabolite-activity relationship is far from being established and FMD improvement is not proportional to RES dose.

Timmers et al. [294] described the caloric restriction-like effects of RES in humans for the first time. A single dose (150 mg RES) was ingested daily by 11 healthy obese men for 1 month and a thorough evaluation of the metabolic profile and energy metabolism of participants was performed. RES ingestion reduced sleeping and resting metabolic rate. Systolic blood pressure decreased by 5% and HOMA index was improved. TNFα significantly decreased whereas CRP, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 did not reach statistical significant changes. RES supplementation improved muscle mitochondrial respiration, and increased intramyocellular lipid levels whereas intrathepatic lipid contents and serum biochemical variables decreased. Gene expression profiling using microarrays in muscle biopsies revealed the differential expression of 469 genes, including the upregulation of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation pathways and the downregulation of inflammatory pathways. RES ingestion also activated AMPK and increased SIRT1 and PGC-1 protein levels in protein extracts from muscle biopsies. In general, the effects were modest but consistent. Authors suggested that the unfavorable metabolic profile in obese people could be overcome by RES supplementation. Perhaps, longer treatments could amplify these effects. The results were quite promising but the exposure time was very short to discard possible adverse effects in longer lasting trials, especially if medicated subjects are included. Finally, only 11 obese men participated in this trial.
future investigations should confirm the above results with longer follow-up periods and larger cohorts, including males and females.

De Groote et al. [295] performed a randomized, 3-arm, single-blinded, placebo controlled trial in obese subjects who ingested daily doses of 150 mg RES (n=10), 300 mg catechin-rich grape seed extract (CGSE, n=12) or 400 mg RES phosphate (RTP, n=10) for 28 days. Doses were adjusted at molar level (0.66 mmol in each case). A low density (200 genes) microarray was used to evaluate RNA transcript profiling in whole blood. Ten, fifteen and twenty eight genes were affected by RES, RTP and CGSE, respectively, after 28 days. Affected genes were classified into ‘antioxidant’, ‘inflammatory’, ‘stress response’ and ‘other’. However, this preliminary microarray data were not further validated by RT-PCR and/or at protein level. A number of serum determinations were carried out: ‘total antioxidant power’, endogenous antioxidant systems such as glutathione peroxidase (GPx), reduced (GSH) and oxidized (GSSG) glutathione and superoxide dismutase (SOD), lipid peroxides (LOOH), oxidized LDL (LDLx), and antibodies against LDLox (anti-LDLox). Statistically significant improvements were observed mainly for CGSE and RTP in GSSG, GPx and anti-LDLox.

Recently, Heger et al. [296] have evaluated the effect of a dietary supplement against oxidative DNA damage and redox status of healthy subjects. The study presented a number of important limitations such as lack of randomization; no blinding, no placebo control, small sample size (7 females and 5 males) and short follow-up (only 5 days). Volunteers consumed 3 capsules/day. Each capsule contained 2 mg RES, 100 mg dried grape extract, 50 mg of dried extract from olive oil, 3 mg lycopene, 100 mg vitamin C and 30 mg ‘bioflavonoids’ from citrus fruits. No short-term significant effects were found on the DNA-stability parameters from peripheral blood cells. Surprisingly, the study focused especially on the lack of effects referring to RES intake (6 mg/day) when other significant antioxidant molecules or products such as vitamin C, lycopene, olive oil extract, grape extract etc. were also present in the supplement. It is known that RES antioxidant in vivo activity is discrete in comparison to other phenolics [160]. In addition, the detailed phytochemical analysis of the grape and olive extracts was not provided. No effects were found on LDLox and CRP values either. Even though these markers have been reported to be affected by a grape supplement in patients after a 6 or 12 months follow-up [270,273], the lack of effect seen here is not surprising, particularly due to the healthy state of subjects, without any specific induced stress (inflammatory, high-fat meals, etc.) and to the short follow-up time. In the case of CRP the lack of effect is even more logical since this protein levels were in the limit of quantification for the method used [297]. Although not specified, blood withdrawal was apparently carried out each day, in the morning, and therefore a complete clearance of compounds (especially RES) was expected. This means that effects on DNA-stability parameters in the first hours after supplement intake cannot be ruled out.

Zhu et al. [298] conducted a randomized, double-blinded, 3-arm, placebo-controlled trial in healthy women but at increased risk of breast cancer. Volunteers consumed either placebo, 5 mg RES or 50 mg RES for 3 months. Thirty-nine participants started the trial but only 31 completed it. Unfortunately the distribution of women that completed each arm was not provided. In addition, not all the biomarkers were analyzed at all time points for women. Methylation assessment of 4 cancer-related genes (p16, RASSF-1a, APC and CCND2) was carried out in the mammary ductoscopy samples of 22 participants, and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) levels were measured in serum and nipple aspirates. No significant changes were observed on the above genes using a quantitative methylation specific (qMS)-PCR technique. No effects were observed on PGE2 either. Total serum RES concentration increased with RES dose and the logarithm of serum RES concentration poorly but statistically significant correlated with the decrease of methylation of the tumor suppressor gene RASSF-1a (P=0.047; r2=-0.14). Unfortunately, RES metabolic profiles of serum, aspirate fluids and ductoscopy samples were not evaluated. Unfortunately, the possible effects were focused only on 4 genes whereas microarray and/or antibody assays would probably have yielded interesting results. Despite the limitations of the study, this trial is the first one to evaluate the effects of RES on women at high risk of breast cancer.

Yoshino et al. [299] conducted a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to evaluate the metabolic effects of RES supplementation in nonobese, postmenopausal women with normal glucose tolerance. A total of 45 lean and overweight, Caucasian, postmenopausal women were randomly assigned to one of three groups: 1) placebo treatment for 12 weeks (n=15), 2) RES supplementation (75 mg/day) for 12 weeks (n=15), or 3) calorie restriction targeted to achieve a 5% weight loss within 12 weeks (n=15). Although RES supplementation increased plasma RES concentration, it did not change body composition, resting metabolic rate, plasma lipids, or inflammatory markers. A two-stage hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp procedure, in conjunction with stable isotopically labeled tracer infusions, demonstrated that RES did not increase liver, skeletal muscle, or adipose tissue insulin sensitivity. Consistent with the absence of in vivo metabolic effects, RES did not affect its putative molecular targets, including AMPK, SIRT1, NAMPT, and PPARGC1A, in either skeletal muscle or adipose tissue. Pretreatment values were used as covariates. Addition of the CR weight loss group to the analysis of outcome measures did not change the comparisons between the placebo and RES groups. The authors conclude that these findings demonstrate that RES supplementation does not have beneficial metabolic effects in nonobese, postmenopausal women with normal glucose tolerance. Nevertheless, the authors point out the important difference between this study and others where several metabolic benefits were reported, in that the subjects in these studies had more severe pre-existing metabolic dysfunction, such as obesity, type 2 diabetes, and impaired glucose tolerance. Further adding that studies conducted in rodent models of diet induced obesity have shown that RES improves insulin sensitivity, lipids, and mitochondrial function but did not show beneficial metabolic effects in normal rodents. In this context, authors raise the possibility that RES only improves metabolic outcomes in obese and metabolically abnormal people, and not in nonobese glucose tolerant women. After reading this study one can be drawn to the idea that RES is useless for healthy people. However, it should be highlighted that the real objective is to prevent the impairment of disease-risk markers or to decrease their abnormal high values. In this regard, the above results are rather logical and not surprising at all. It seems clear that the challenge in which studies should be focused on is evaluating ‘preventive effects’ in healthy, homeostatic, subjects.

Another study focused on the metabolic effects of high-dose RES (1.5 g RES/day) in obese human subjects was carried out by Poulsen et al. [300]. In a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, and parallel-group design, 24 obese but otherwise healthy men were randomly assigned to 4 weeks of RES or placebo treatment. Extensive metabolic examinations including assessment of glucose turnover and insulin sensitivity (hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp) were performed before and after treatment. The primary outcome measure was insulin sensitivity which deteriorated insignificantly in both groups. Endogenous glucose production and the turnover and oxidation rates of glucose remained unchanged. RES supplementation also had no effect on blood pressure, resting energy expenditure, oxidation rates of lipid, ectopic or visceral fat content, or in inflammatory and metabolic biomarkers. The lack of effects caused authors to question RES as a human nutritional supplement in metabolic disorders. Once again, one-month period is a too short period to draw conclusions regarding the effect of RES, especially on healthy people. The challenge is to evaluate the evaluation of risk markers for a long period and to ascertain
whether RES supplementation can prevent the impairment of these markers.

Bo et al. [301] evaluated whether RES had beneficial effects on markers of inflammation and oxidative stress in healthy smokers. A randomized, double-blind, cross-over trial was performed in 50 healthy adult smokers: 25 were randomly allocated to 'resveratrol-first' (30 days of 500 mg RES/day, 30 days wash-out, 30-days placebo) and 25 to 'placebo-first' (30 days placebo, 30 days wash-out, 30 days 500 mg RES/day). RES significantly reduced CRP and triglyceride concentrations, and increased Total Antioxidant Status (TAS) values. After analyzing data with general linear models to assess period and carry-over effects, the ratios of the values after RES to those after placebo were respectively: 0.47 (95% CI 0.38-0.59) -CRP- and 0.71 (95% CI 0.65-0.78) triglycerides-, while TAS increased by 74.2 μmol/L (95% CI 60.8-87.6). Uric acid, glucose, insulin, cholesterol, liver enzyme concentrations, and weight, waist circumference, and blood pressure values did not significantly change after RES supplementation.

Two recent studies have also evaluated the effects of RES preparations on the skin upon topical application. Fabbrocini et al. [302] reported the anti-aceaic properties of RES in 20 volunteers with acne vulgaris in a one armed vehicle-controlled trial. A hydrogel-containing RES and vehicle alone were applied daily to the right and left sides of volunteers’ face, respectively, for 2 months. Non-adverse experiences were recorded. Clinical evaluation revealed a mean decrease of 53.7% in the Global Acne Grading System (GAGS) score with the RES-containing formulation versus a 6.1% reduction with the vehicle alone. These results were supported by histological analyses that showed a 66.7% reduction in the area of microcomedones on the hydrogel-containing RES-treated sides versus a 9.7% reduction in the vehicle-treated side. Overall, authors suggest that these results deserve further studies in a larger cohort. Although these results are promising, the therapeutic effectiveness versus other conventional, well-known anti-aceaic treatments was not included. This is essential to claim a possible commercial viability of RES formulations to prevent or treat acne vulgaris.

Recently, Wu et al. [303] reported the protective effect of RES triphosphate against UV-induced erythema. Different moisturizing cream preparations were assayed in 15 healthy females. RES triphosphate (1%) plus an antioxidant blend (0.1% ascorbyl phosphate, 0.5% tocopherol acetate, 0.01% Echinacea paludis extract, 0.12% chamomile extract and 0.18% caffeine), RES triphosphate-alone, the antioxidant blend alone or only the moisturizing vehicle were applied in different dorsal skin sites that were UV-exposed for 4 consecutive days. Study products were applied immediately after each UV treatment and the effects were compared against positive (only UV treatment) and negative (no UV, no creams) controls. Non-invasive skin color were measured using CIE L*a*b* (CIELAB), which is the most complete color space specified by the International Commission on Illumination. The three coordinates of CIELAB represent the lightness of the color (L*), its position between red/magenta and green (a*), and its position between yellow and blue (b*). In addition, histological analyses (sunburn cells and melanin content) from biopsies were studied for each treatment. Overall, depending on the specific parameter investigated, RES triphosphate alone or in combination with the antioxidant blend showed the best results, i.e., decrease of erythema, number of sunburn cells and melanin content (percentage and optical densities). However, no statistical analyses among groups were carried out and no apparent differences were observed in the light of the results.

Finally, Buonocore et al. [304] wanted to evaluate the topical and systemic effects of a grape supplement containing 8 mg resveratrol and procyanidins on age-related alterations to the skin, the skin antioxidant pool, and systemic oxidative stress levels. An instrumental study was performed in 50 subjects (placebo, n=25, and treatment, n=25) with clinical signs of skin aging (wrinkles, dull complexion, brown spots) to identify clinical features induced by chronaging or photaging. Product efficacy was evaluated after 60 day of treatment in terms of in vitro and in situ skin hydration, elasticity, and skin roughness levels, systemic oxidative stress levels by plasmatic derivatives of reactive oxygen metabolites and oxyradient-sorbs, and extent of the skin antioxidant pool. After 60 days of treatment, values for systemic oxidative stress, plasmatic antioxidant capacity, and skin antioxidant power increased significantly. Additionally, skin moisturization and elasticity improved, while skin roughness and depth of wrinkles diminished. Intensity of age spots significantly decreased, as evidenced by improvement in the individual typological angle. No covariates were considered in the statistical analysis.

POTENTIAL CLINICAL EFFECTS VS HUMAN EVIDENCE

There are a number of questions that can be formulated regarding human clinical trials dealing with plant-derived compounds. First of all, what do we really expect from a plant-based molecule such as RES? A future multitarget pharmaceutical drug or a dietary molecule present in foodstuffs or nutraceutical preparations to prevent diseases or to enhance the effectiveness of medical treatments? Both?

As stated in a previous section, the traditional link between red wine consumption, the ‘French Paradox’, RES and the beneficial effects of red wine consumption, has been recurrently used in the past years [305]. After the cancer chemopreventive activity of RES was described in rats [15], Howitz et al. [232] described the life span extension of yeasts by RES and the involvement of SIRT1 activation in the effects. This was further confirmed in worms [233], flies [306] and short-lived fish [234]. The interest in RES peaked with these discoveries. However, the research on RES and its role on life extension became a scientific-commercial battle because despite the abundant studies published in high-impact journals, the exact role of SIRT1 in calorie restriction and life span extension is still under debate [307,308]. Overall, it is difficult to assume that aging is controlled by a so-called on/off button named SIRT1. Therefore, independently of the exact ‘magical’ mechanism involved, there is a well-argued body of evidence related to the beneficial effects of RES against chronic diseases in animal models [16]. However, why are there so many in vitro and animal model assays and so little human evidence? It seems that the weighting scale is not quite balanced. Perhaps, the pharmaceutical industry is not interested in investing funds on human research since RES is ready-available for everyone and general findings are promptly used by competitors who do not perform any type of research. In addition, the activity of RES against so many processes (cancer, inflammation, oxidative stress, cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases, aging, etc.) has been very attractive for the scientific community and the irrelevant use of high in vitro or in vivo doses to describe many different mechanism and effects has been recurrently published. This has contributed to a kind of endless loop in RES research thus far. Many in vitro or animal studies, (some human studies also), usually justify the results obtained (regardless of the assayed RES dose) with the presence of RES in the human diet (mainly in red wine), yet RES as a part of human diet is negligible [27]. The most abundant source of RES in the diet is red wine but quantities are low and quite unpredictable [10,26]. Therefore, i) the consumption of wine does not ensure the intake of enough RES to exert beneficial effects, ii) the conjecture that directly correlates the cardiovascular protection of red wine and its RES content is not fully true and iii) the specific contribution of the minor RES content compared to the rest of major polyphenolic compounds in red wine is not fully known.

To date, in a rough classification depending on the time of intervention, acute (hours-days, short-term (weeks-few months, and long-term (1 year) human clinical trials have been reported (Table 2). Perhaps, the first debate regarding this classification would be to determine what are acute, short- and long-term interventions. The
terms acute (single dose for a few days), subchronic (repetitive doses for weeks), and chronic (repetitive doses for months) are well established to evaluate toxicological effects. However, it is difficult to establish an unequivocal time range to evaluate either ‘preventive’ or ‘curative’ effects of a dietary compound in which possible adverse effects should also be evaluated. In general, the biological activity of phytochemicals, including RES, is low in comparison with the vast majority of pharmaceutical drugs intended to cure. In addition, in the context of a dietary molecule, the term low or high concentration is not defined anywhere. In general, a RES dose that can be ingested through the diet is considered to be a low dose. A limiting figure for a low RES dose could be 15 or 20 mg RES (hypothetically equivalent to 1 litre of red wine with the highest RES concentration, which is not representative but possible). Since the content of RES in the diet is low and unpredictable, the consumption of a RES-containing nutraceutical with a low standardized RES dose seems reasonable. Higher doses could not be justified through the diet. If the above is assumed, the majority of human trials have involved the use of high RES concentrations (hundred milligrams to grams) and only in a few cases, concentrations closer to a dietary context (Table 2).

In general, from all the mechanisms described for RES in ‘preclinical’ models (Fig. 2), only a few of them have somehow been confirmed in humans, including signalling cascades via Akt pathway [267] and regulation of some target genes or proteins in peripheral blood cells [284,290] or muscle [294]. The highest degree of evidence in humans (although still scarce) deals with the cardioprotective activity of RES through effects related to the improvement of inflammatory markers, atherogenic profile, glucose metabolism and endothelial function (Table 2).

The ‘Resveratrol Paradox’

Goldberg et al. [28], in 2003, already pointed out that the huge output of studies reporting in vitro effects of free RES could be irrelevant as it was absorbed as conjugates. As recently reviewed by Planas et al. [309], the bioavailability and distribution of RES are constrained by ABC transporters [250,310,311]. Enterocytes rapidly metabolize RES to yield glucuronide and sulfate derivatives, which are excreted through the ABC membrane proteins. In this regard, Azorín-Ortuño et al. [247] coined the expression ‘Resveratrol Paradox’ to illustrate the high activity exerted by RES despite its low bioavailability. This dramatically hampers i) the identification of the possible real actor responsible for the effects exerted by RES and ii) the right dose for achieving such effects [287]. A logical rationale for relating plasmatic (or tissue) concentrations with specific systemic activity is to assume that parent RES and/or derived metabolites must interact directly with a specific systemic target [287]. However, the direct association between RES and/or specific circulating RES metabolites and the biological activity of RES is far from being demonstrated in vivo. For example, FMD did not improve proportionally with RES concentration in humans [269,275,293]. Patel et al. [260] could not find significant effects on the cancer marker Ki-67 in colon cancer patients when RES dosages (0.5 and 1 g) were analyzed separately. Brown et al. [30] described the highest effects on circulating IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 on the 1 and 2.5 g RES doses, whereas the highest concentration (5 g) failed to exert the effects in the patients. Kennedy et al. [289] reported the dose-dependent increase of cerebral blood in volunteers although cognitive function did not change. Obviously, this could not be correlated with the metabolic profile of RES in the brain but the presence of RES and derived metabolites in the brain is very low [247]. Tomé-Carneiro et al. [273] reported the improvement of inflammatory and fibrinolytic markers in patients undergoing primary prevention of cardiovascular disease upon consumption of a RES-containing grape nutraceutical. After 6 months of intervention, the dose was doubled for 6 additional months. No clear dose-response was observed for all the markers analyzed. No circulating RES metabolites were detected after overnight fasting, suggesting that the effects could be exerted by a low but repetitive-chronic exposure to these metabolites (not necessarily found in a specific time-point). In addition, other mediators not fully identified yet could be the final responsible for the effects observed. In another recent study, the chronic consumption of the same RES-containing nutraceutical prevented early events of atherosclerosis in pigs fed an atherogenic diet [160]. No circulating RES metabolites were detected either. Interestingly, a number of metabolites were found in pig aortic tissue. However, no clear metabolite-activity relationship could be established [160]. In the same line, previous reports supported anti-inflammatory systemic effects after oral administration of low RES doses (human equivalent dose to 10 mg for a 70 kg person) in animal assays in which circulating metabolites were detected at very low concentration or even not detected at all [221,312].

The specific biological activity of circulating metabolites has been scarcely approached due to the lack of suitable standards [313,314]. In this regard, Lasa et al. [115] have recently taken a further step suggesting the anti-obesity effect of some RES metabolites after their in vitro exposure at 25 μM to mouse pre-adipocytes for 24 hours. Unfortunately, the disposition of RES in human adipose tissue is not known, and these rather high metabolite concentrations have not been detected yet in animal adipose tissues after high RES supplementation [247,315]. Azorín-Ortuño et al. [251] described that 100 nM RES or DH-RES, but not their corresponding glucuronide metabolites, decreased fatty acid binding protein type-4 (FABP4) levels in macrophages exposed to oxidized LDL particles. These concentrations are achievable in plasma after RES intake. In addition, an interesting finding was the hormetic-like response detected because the effects were lower when RES concentration was increased [316]. Although this possibility cannot be ruled out, more in vivo evidences are needed to support the hormetic theory for RES.

The evaluation of effects upon the supplementation of low doses of RES is challenging because it requires long-term trials to exert quantifiable effects. It seems that effects on endothelial function [275] and glucose metabolism [267] require neither high concentrations (10 mg/day) nor long-term follow-ups (from 1 to 3 months). On the contrary, effects on inflammatory and other markers as well as the evaluation of safety parameters and drug interactions could require longer interventions [270,273]. To conduct such long-term trials is time-consuming (sequential recruitment of volunteers and follow-up can take several years) and could be risky (instead of speculative changes, rather moderate or even no statistically significant effects are expected). Scientists need to publish their results and the seductive shortcut of using high RES doses and short follow-ups (to maximize effects in a short time) has been the main strategy followed so far. Surprisingly, many high-impact factor journals are recurrently publishing studies with detailed pathways, magical targets that explain many effects, etc...but using in vitro or in vivo assays with unrealistic, non-physiological RES concentrations (type and amount of metabolites) or with questionable extrapolation to humans due to safety concerns. Consequently, and unfortunately, many of these spectacular effects and pathways have not been confirmed in humans so far.

To Consume or not to Consume Resveratrol? That is the Question

The specific RES dose required to maximize effects without safety concerns is not known yet. Therefore, this opens the question of whether chronic ingestion of low RES doses can exert more benefits than short-time exposures to high RES doses. In this context, the current commercial competition to sell (and consume) nutraceuticals with high RES content is not justified. Consumers establish a direct parallelism between the terms ‘natural’ and ‘safe’; however, they should bear in mind that many well-known powerful poisons are ‘very natural’. There is a profound contradiction be-
tween the high RES concentrations commonly assayed in vitro and in animal models, and the safety concern derived from the possible use of these high concentrations in long-term human interventions. For example, in addition to many other drugs, the bioavailability of statins is affected by CYPs isoenzymes, including CYP3A4, in addition to uptake and efflux transporters that affect drug disposition [317]. Statins are lipid-lowering drugs of primary choice in the prevention of cardiovascular diseases and millions of people at risk, but healthy, consume statins all over the world. High RES doses can inhibit CYPs and interact with transporters [288,309], which could modify the metabolism of statins provoking serious adverse effects. Therefore, medicated people (with established disease but also those at risk or with mild disease) should be warned that consuming high doses of RES for long periods could be harmful. Indeed, this rationale is also valid for the vast majority of plant-derived preparations commonly consumed without any type of control.

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

The relevance of the huge output of in vitro and animal studies looking at the health benefits of RES is unclear. Scientific literature is filled with plenty of studies where unrealistic assay conditions have lead to many effects and mechanisms that are far from being confirmed in humans. Responsibility lies with scientists but also with journals that rather publish spectacular results, no matter the doubtful extrapolation to humans, instead of moderate, borderline effects obtained using a more realistic physiological context. To date and according to the clinical trials conducted so far, it is becoming evident that RES exerts cardioprotective benefits through the improvement of inflammatory markers, atherogenic profile, glucose metabolism and endothelial function (Table 2). These effects have been observed using both high and low doses of RES and both in healthy volunteers and medicated patients. However, the specific mechanisms by which this may occur are not yet clear. More trials are needed to confirm these and other possible effects and mechanisms. The promising neurodegenerative and cancer chemopreventive effects of RES in animal models have not been yet confirmed in humans. There are a number of ongoing clinical trials dealing with RES (www.clinicaltrials.gov) that will increase the knowledge about the effects of RES on human health in the coming months/years (Supplementary Table 11).

Despite the effects observed, the poor bioavailability of RES has been a classical drawback for this molecule and even a recurrent criticism used by some physicians or pharmacologists, i.e. ‘RES cannot exert benefits because it is rapidly metabolized and its presence in the bloodstream is negligible to justify any effect’. However, the effects exist. More research should focus on identifying the actual metabolite(s) or signals or mediators responsible for these effects. In addition, and to overcome the poor bioavailability of RES, intense research has been performed to enhance its bioavailability [319]. Most strategies based on encapsulations and modifications have yielded, however, discrete results in vivo. Other more specific strategies previously approached in animals such as the inhibition of CYPs by using known inhibitors like piperine [320] are also under evaluation in humans (Supplementary Table 11). However, a call of caution should be made when using these approaches due to the significance of CYPs in the metabolism of drugs and dietary carcinogens.

After RES intake, the actual metabolite responsible (if any) for the effects exerted is still unknown. The specific activity associated to known metabolites has been scarcely investigated. However, taken into account the effects observed and the apparent lack of relationship with metabolites concentration, other hypotheses cannot be ruled out and perhaps, we should be looking into other directions, i.e. sensory neuron stimulation in the gastrointestinal tract and triggering of signalling cascades through other intermediates [321].

There are many mechanisms and pathways supposedly regulated by RES but the evidence in humans is very poor. In this regard, marketing and media coverage have moved on much faster than research [322]. All the effects attributed to RES on account of the in vitro and animal results, with disregard for the context and assay conditions, are literally used by sellers to publicise and market their RES-containing products. Food and pharmaceutical companies should be more cautious and look for specific RES formulations with proven significant benefits and ensure the absence of any type of adverse effects or drug interactions. In this regard and independently of the RES concentration used, more long-term trials are needed with special attention to medicated people (not necessarily symptomatic subjects) such as the millions of people under statins treatment. Safety is also an important issue for RES. Like many other plant-based nutraceuticals rich in phenolics and other phytochemicals, RES and RES-containing products may also cause adverse effects and interact with drugs. ‘Natural’ does not equal ‘safe’. It is a matter of dose and time of administration. Efficacy and safety must be demonstrated for each specific product.

The objective of using high doses of RES with a pharmacological use is still unclear. Safety concerns, easy and cheap commercial availability of the molecule, and lack of added value for pharmaceutical companies make the future of RES, as a possible pharmaceutical multigetar drug, rather obscure. On the other hand, the RES molecule could be the scaffold for the development of other synthetic compounds with specific added-value for pharmaceutical companies.
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ABBREVIATIONS

Aβ = Amyloid-beta peptide
Akt = Serine/threonine kinase (protein kinase B)
AMPK = AMP activated protein kinase
ApoB = Apolipoprotein B
AUC = Area under the curve
Bax = Bcl-2-associated X protein
Bcl-2 = B-cell lymphoma 2
CAD = Coronary artery disease
COX = Cyclooxygenase
CVD = cardiovascular disease
CYP = Cytochrome P450
CYP = Cytochrome P450
ERK = Extracellular signal regulated kinase
eNOS = Endothelial nitric oxide synthase
FOXO = Forkhead transcription factor
FMD = Flow-mediated dilatation
FOXO = Forkhead transcription factor
GE-RES = RES-rich grape extract
GGT = Glutamat dehydrogenase
GPx = Glutathione peroxidase
GST-1P = Glutathione S-transferase-1P
HBD = Human equivalent dose
HDL = High density lipoprotein
HO-1 = Heme oxygenase-1
HED = Human equivalent dose
HHEC = Human fat, high carbohydrate
HO-1 = Heme oxygenase-1
hsCRP = High-sensitivity C-reactive protein
ICAM-1 = Intercellular adhesion molecule
IGF-I = Insulin-like growth factor-I
IGFBP = IGF binding proteins
IKKβ = Inhibitor of κB-kinase-β
IL = Interleukin
INFKB = Intracellular nuclear factor-κB-binding
iNOS = Inducible nitric oxide synthase
JNK = Jun-N-terminal kinase
KATP = K+-ATP channel
K/R = Thrombin receptor
LDLox = Oxidized LDL
LDL = Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
MAPK = Mitogen-activated protein kinase
MCP = Monocyte chemotactic protein
MMP = Metalloproteinase
NF-κB = Nuclear factor kappa B
NOS = Nitric oxide synthase
PAI-1 = Plasminogen inhib, factor type 1
PBMCs = Peripheral blood mononuclear cells
PGC-1α = Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator-1 alpha
PGD2 = Prostaglandin D2
PI3K = Phosphoinositide 3-kinase
PPT = Phosphotyrosine phosphatase-1B
RES = trans-resveratrol
ROS = Reactive oxygen species
SIRT1 = Sirtuin type 1 (silent information regulator type 1)
SOCS-3 = Suppressor of cytokine signalling type 3
SOD = Superoxide dismutase
TLR-4 = Toll-like receptor-4
TNF = Tumor necrosis factor
VCAM-1 = Vascular cell adhesion protein
VEGF = Vascular endothelial growth factor
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